#### Introduction:

LEA: Rocklin Unified School District Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number): Karen Huffines, Director of Elementary Programs, khuffines@rocklin.k12.ca.us, LCAP Year: 2015-2016

# Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

Please note that in some areas, line numbers are indicated in the Actions and Services. These numbers refer to internal documents used by the LCAP development team to assure consistency and coherence across planning and budget documents.

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

# **State Priorities**

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

### A. Conditions of Learning:

**Basic:** degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

**Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only):** coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

### **B. Pupil Outcomes:**

**Pupil achievement:** performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

#### C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

**Pupil engagement:** school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

## **Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement**

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

**Instructions:** Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

### **Involvement Process**

Feedback from stakeholders was considered when preparing the 2015-16 LCAP. RUSD administrators met in December 2014 and again in January 2015 to review the 2014-15 LCAP and provide feedback on goals and action items. School administrators named positive school climate and culture especially as related to bullying and cyber-bullying as a priority. Equity in access and training in technology were also called out as goals. A thorough review of RUSD's Special Education Program by WestEd, involving parents, certificated and classified staff and administrators identified several goals around students with exceptional needs. In addition, School Site Councils met and provided feedback in February, 2015. District DELAC reviewed the 2015-16 draft LCAP during their February 2015 meeting.

A District LCAP Advisory group consisting of parents (62%), including parents of unduplicated pupils, RUSD administrators, FY representatives and classified and certificated staff (including local bargaining group representation) convened in February. (Student representatives were invited and encouraged to participate but did not attend.) Stakeholders were engaged in developing, reviewing, and providing feedback on the implementation of the 2014-15 LCAP and the development of 2015-16 LCAP. Budget documents and needs assessment data were shared with stakeholders to help inform the process. District administrators were available to answer specific questions and clarify information.

Stakeholders providing input for the 2015-16 LCAP included members of the following groups;

- RUSD Strategic Planning Committee
- District English Language Advisory Committee (DELAC)
- Students from unduplicated groups
- School Site Councils
- District Leadership Team
- Bargaining group representation
- Parents of unduplicated students
- Parents of students with exceptional needs

# Impact on LCAP

Major changes in the 2015-16 LCAP based on data analysis, stakeholder feedback and reflections received throughout the 2014-15 school year resulted in the following changes and additions as the 2015-16 LCAP plan was developed;

- further aligned RUSD Strategic Plan and LCAP
- condensed LCAP goals (6 to 3)
- removed actions that had no budgetary dollars attached
- redefined metrics to be more consistent with LCAP goals
- LCAP goals modified to be more actionable
- actions and services were rewritten to be more specific and transparent
- survey data was reviewed to enhance those actions that were beneficial and changes were made to actions based on identified needs and/or concerns from survey responses

LCAP Survey responses:

Parent= 631 Staff= 203 Student= 87

| A draft 2015-16 LCAP was taken to the RUSD School Board as an information item on April 15, 2015. Members of the public were invited to comment.  | Positive Survey Responses Regarding implementation of 2104-15 LCAP:          |
|---|--|
|   | Parents:   |
| After the April meeting, the Governor released the May revised budget. Additional dollars were distributed across the goals and   |  |
| highlighted in the June 10th RUSD Board presentation and public hearing.  | Technology integration in classrooms   |
| Thighlighted in the June 10th NO3D Board presentation and public hearing.   | Solid academic foundation in basic skills                                    |
|   |  |
|   | School facilities & campus safety  |
|   | Staff:   |
|   | Student engagement in learning   |
|   | Solid foundation of basic skills   |
|   |  |
|   | Learning relevant  |
|   | Music & Sports Programs  |
|   | School facilities & campus safety  |
|   | Students   |
|   |  |
|   | Learning relevant classroom content  |
|   | Technology integration in class  |
|   | School facilities & campus safety  |
|   | Well prepared for post-secondary options (college, career)                   |
|   | Access to academic counselor   |
|   | Students make continuous progress towards academic goals                     |
| LCAP staff, parent and high school student surveys containing questions regarding both implementation of the 2014-15 LCAP as well as identified needs for the development of the 2015-16 LCAP were published and advertised May 18th, including the | Identified Concerns/Needs from Survey Regarding development of 2015-16 LCAP: |
| changes resulting from the May revised budget. The survey was open for two weeks.   | Parents:   |
|   | Programs around tolerance & acceptance                                       |
| LCAP Survey responses received:   | Increased levels of communication from schools                               |
| ,,  | Awareness of and consistent enforcement of behavior expectations             |
| Parent= 631   | Increased awareness and use of Naviance                                      |
| Staff= 203  | Increased access and use of technology                                       |
| Student= 87   |  |
|   | Staff  |
| Survey data were analyzed and modifications were made to LCAP prior to the public hearing held on June 10th in response to  | Intervention resources   |
| identified needs and concerns.  | Programs around tolerance & acceptance                                       |
|   | Awareness of and consistent enforcement of behavior expectations             |
|   | Increased awareness and use of Naviance                                      |
|   | Increased access and use of technology                                       |
|   | Thereased decess and ase of technology                                       |
|   | Students   |
|   | More access to counselors for social/emotional support                       |
|   | Programs around tolerance & acceptance                                       |
|   | More opportunities for CTE & ROP classes                                     |
|   | Leadership opportunities and training  |
|   |  |

Increased awareness and use of Naviance Increased access and use of technology

LCAP Public Hearing was held during the June 10, 2015 RUSD Board meeting.

Superintendent and Board members were informed of the one comment received via the LCAP Community Feedback form located on the RUSD webpage. No response was necessary as the sole comment praised the district for the process and outcome. No comments were received at the public hearing held on June 10, 2015.

LCAP was adopted by the RUSD School Board on June 24, 2015.

### Annual Update:

A District LCAP Advisory group consisting of parents (62%), including parents of unduplicated pupils, RUSD administrators, FY representative and classified and certificated staff (including local bargaining group representation) convened in February. (Student representatives were invited and encouraged to participate but did not attend.) Stakeholders were engaged in reviewing the 2014-15 LCAP, available data, and providing feedback on the implementation of the 2014-15 LCAP. This feedback contributed to the annual update and the development of 2015-16 LCAP. Budget documents and needs assessment data were shared with stakeholders to help inform the process. District administrators were available to answer specific questions and clarify information with regard to implementation.

LCAP staff, parent and high school student surveys containing questions regarding both implementation of the 2014-15 LCAP as well as identified needs for the development of the 2015-16 LCAP were published and advertised May 18th, including the changes resulting from the May revised budget. The survey was open for two weeks.

LCAP Survey responses received:

Parent= 631 Staff= 203 Student= 87

Responses regarding the implementation of the 2014-15 LCAP were used in preparing the Annual Update.

Positive Survey Responses Regarding implementation of 2104-15 LCAP:

Parents:

Student engagement in meaningful learning experiences
Technology integration in classrooms
Solid academic foundation in basic skills
School facilities & campus safety

# **Annual Update:**

Feedback from stakeholders was considered when preparing the 2014-15 Annual Update and 2015-16 LCAP. RUSD administrators met in December 2014 and again in January 2015 to review the 2014-15 LCAP and provide feedback on 2014 goals and actions and services. School Site Councils met and provided feedback on the plan in February, 2015. District DELAC reviewed the LCAP Plan during their February 2015 meeting.

A District LCAP Advisory group consisting of parents (62%), including parents of un-duplicated pupils, RUSD administrators, classified and certificated staff (including local bargaining group representation) convened on March 11. (While student representatives were invited and encouraged (personal phone calls were made to each student) to participate but did not attend.) However, student input was gained via the Survey instrument. Stakeholders were engaged in reviewing and providing feedback on the implementation of the 2014-15 LCAP and the development of 2015-16 LCAP.

Budget documents and needs assessment data were shared with the District LCAP Advisory Group to help inform the process. District administrators were available to answer specific questions and clarify information.

LCAP surveys to staff, parents and high school students were developed and were opened on May 18th for two weeks to gather data from stakeholders. Data gleaned from Surveys was used to develop the 2015-16 LCAP. Responses impacting the development of the 2105-16 LCAP are shared below.

Identified Concerns/Needs from Survey Regarding development of 2015-16 LCAP:

#### **Parents**

Programs around tolerance & acceptance
Increased levels of communication from schools
Awareness of and consistent enforcement of behavior expectations
Increased awareness and use of Naviance
Increased access and use of technology

### Staff

Intervention resources
Programs around tolerance & acceptance
Awareness of and consistent enforcement of behavior expectations
Increased awareness and use of Naviance
Increased access and use of technology

#### Student

More access to counselors for social/emotional support Programs around tolerance & acceptance More opportunities for CTE & ROP classes Leadership opportunities and training Increased awareness and use of Naviance Increased access and use of technology

| Page 6 of 47 |  |
|--------------|--|
|              |  |
|              |  |
|              |  |
|              |  |

Staff:
Student engagement in learning
Solid foundation of basic skills
Learning relevant
Music & Sports Programs
School facilities & campus safety

Students

Learning relevant classroom content
Technology integration in class
School facilities & campus safety
Well prepared for post-secondary options (college, career)
Access to academic counselor
Students make continuous progress towards academic goals

### Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

# **Instructions:**

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

**Applicable Pupil Subgroups:** Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

**Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:** For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Action/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

**Budgeted Expenditures:** For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

# **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

|   | Unified School District (RUSD) will ensure that all students will a sistent with college and career readiness standards and expectation  |   | est potential and make continuous progress   | toward increasingly challenging academic   | Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 _ 2 X 3 _ 4 X 5 X 6 _ 7 X 8 X   |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| GOAL 1:                                 |  |   |  |  | COE only: 9 _ 10 _  |
|   |  |   |  |  | Local : Specify Strategies 1, 3   |
| Identified Need:                        | NEED Improving teaching and learning through the implementation of Increase awareness of the importance of regular and consister Ensure adequate conditions of learning in area of instructional   | nt attendance in sch  |  | i  |   |
|   | METRICS Student achievement data will be analyzed by "all students" and CAASPP,  • CAASPP,  • Smarter Balanced summative assessments  • Smarter Balanced interim assessments  A-G completion rates CTE completion rates AP course access CAHSEE success rates Analysis of professional learning opportunities: Attendance rates Graduation rates California English Language Development Test (reclassification School Accountability Report Card (SARC) conditions of learning  | n rates and CELDT   |  |  |   |
| Goal Applies to:                        | Schools: ALL   |   |  |  |   |
|   | Applicable Pupil Subgroups: ALL  |   |  |  |   |
|   |  |   | LCAP Year 1: 2015-16   |  |   |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable Outcomes: | Academic growth will be measured using the 2015 Smarter Ba average, will receive additional targeted support. Use of interin school year. A-G completion rates will be monitored and experience performing sub-groups - AP English, AP Calculus and AP Biologroup. Staff satisfaction of professional development opportuniand state average; currently at 95%; all subgroups who are un to standards-aligned instructional materials. The reclassification Placer County. Conditions of learning metrics on the SARC will | n assessments will letted to increase by 2 bogy will be examine ities will be, on aver der district average n rate will be mainta | be focused at the site level to increase formally. CTE course completion rates with annually. CTE course completion rates with a contract of the course of t | ative opportunities for learning. Target is to have a<br>vill be increased by 2%. AP course access will be<br>ease incrementally for each under-performing (de<br>by participation rates and staff surveys targeting I<br>netrics on the SARC will be monitored to address<br>bunty. The percentage of students meeting the CE | all sites implement interim assessments in the 2015-16 monitored with an emphasis to increase underfined as below District for two consecutive years) sub-PD. Graduation rates will maintain above the county the following: the degree to which pupils have access ELDT criterion will be equal to or greater than that of |
|   | Actions/Services   | Scope of Service  | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service   |  | Budgeted<br>penditures  |
| providing professional learn            | sh learners, new instructional materials where available, and  |   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners   | 1.5 Teachers on Special Assignment (5) include 479,500  2.Evaluate pilot of full-day Kinder and TK prograppropriate. Supplemental 133,100  | es program support (Lines 1&2) Supplemental grams(Lines 3,4,5) and make plans to continue as  |
| Insure all students have                | e access to standards aligned curriculum and materials.  | All Elementary schools development of   | _ Foster Youth<br>_ Redesignated fluent English proficient<br>_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |  | pring View Middle School (Line 6) Supplemental  |
| Develop Transitional Killearning.       | ndergarten and Kindergarten programs to better support early   | TK and Kindergarten   | _ other outsgroups. (Openity)  | •  | th exceptional needs (Lines 12, 24) Supplemental  |
|   |  | programs  |  | 5.Support EL students at secondary level (social Supplemental 10,000   | al-emotional & academic achievement) (Line 13)  |
|   |  |   |  |  | sing a TNT model (Line11) Supplemental 20,000   |
|   |  |   |  | 7.Current teachers/administrators Base 47,640  | •   |
|   |  |   |  | 8.Allocate funds to school sites to enable site-b  | ased decision making Base 949,000   |

Page 10 of 47

|  |  |  | Page 10 of 47   |
|--|--|--|---|
| <ul> <li>2. Engage learners at strategic transition points in order to facilitate academic success in subsequent years.</li> <li>Early learners</li> <li>Middle School transition</li> <li>Transition to college and career</li> <li>Programs and support that will be developed or continued to support student academic achievement and engagement. Programs will include:</li> <li>TK/K Professional learning</li> <li>IB Expansion to MYP</li> <li>After school support for students at SVMS</li> <li>AVID tutor support</li> <li>Career and college counselors</li> <li>Graduation rate support for targeted groups</li> <li>EL/FY/LI categorical support</li> <li>Expand college opportunities for under performing subgroups</li> <li>Elementary Instructional support</li> </ul> | All Schools  | All OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs - development of MTSS is expected to increase the achievement and engagement of all students including our students receiving special education services and GATE. | 1.Fund PSAT and AP opportunities for under performing students (Line 23) Supplemental 5,000  2.AVID tutors and Career College Counselors (Lines 30 & 31) Supplemental 238,000  3. SVMS after school intervention (Line 26) Supplemental 20,000  4.EL Summer School-transportation, teachers, aides, supplies (Lines 34 & 39) Supplemental 20,000  5.Support CTE offerings targeting subgroup students (Line 22) Supplemental 15,000  6.Continue implementation of a MTSS (Lines 15, 16, 17) Supplemental 134,000  7.Fund literacy program for ELL students K-6 (Line 21) Supplemental 6,600  8.Literacy web hosting (RenLearn) K-12 (Line 25) Supplemental 13,000 |
| 3. Support implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:  Human Resources Educational Services Technology Custodial/Grounds Business  | All  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | 1.District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000  2. LCAP Program Specialist (Line18) Supplemental 73,200  3.Additional classified support to support subgroups (Line 28 & 29)) Supplemental 38,900  4.Transportation % LI students & EL Summer School (Lines 28 & 34) Supplemental 360,000  |
| <ul> <li>4. RUSD is engaged in a district wide strategic planning process to meet both Strategic Plan and LCAP goals. Efforts focused on:</li> <li>Implementation of literacy practices</li> <li>Measure academic growth through assessments</li> <li>Foster healthy relationships and interactions</li> <li>Coordinate district wide resources for student long term goal setting/planning</li> <li>Support, motivate and guide struggling students</li> <li>Develop centralized support system for professional learning/blended learning included</li> </ul>  | Continue to<br>support district<br>school sites in<br>planning and<br>implementation of<br>site and district<br>Strategic<br>Planning. | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | 1.RUSD Strategic Planning implementation (Activated Action) Base 430,000  2. Site Strategic Planning Development Base 70,000  |
| 5.Continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs including operational support.   | All  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  | 1.Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  2. Clerical support to assist in intervention activities (Line 20) Supplemental 34,200   |

## **LCAP Year 2:** 2016-17

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

Smarter Balanced summative assessment results will indicate a 2 percent increase in students scoring proficient or above compared to the 2016 results. Students not demonstrating sufficient improvement, or scoring below district average, will receive additional targeted support. Use of interim assessments will be focused at the site level to increase formative opportunities for learning. Interim assessments will show a 2 percent increase in students scoring proficient on district interim assessments. A-G completion rates will be monitored and expected to increase by 2% annually. CTE course completion rates will be increased by 2%. AP course access will be monitored with an emphasis to increase under-performing sub-groups - AP English, AP Calculus and AP Biology will be examined. CAHSEE pass rates will maintain or increase incrementally for each under-performing (defined as below District for two consecutive years) sub-group. Staff satisfaction of professional development opportunities will be, on average 85% as a baseline result as measured by participation rates and staff surveys targeting PD. Graduation rates will maintain above the county and state average; all subgroups who are under district average will increase by 1%. Conditions of learning metrics on the SARC will be monitored to ensure all pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials. The EL reclassification rate will be maintained at a rate greater than that of Placer County.

| Actions/Services   | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service   | Budgeted Expenditures   |
|--|------------------|--|---|
| 1.Implement California Standards in Math, ELA/ELD and NGSS by providing new instructional materials, intervention support materials, professional learning opportunities supported by Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) and develop Transitional Kindergarten and Kindergarten programs to better support early learning.   |                  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                | 1.State Priority 2- Implementation of California Standard Supplemental 577,600  2.State Priority 7- Course Access Supplemental 22,000  3.State Priority 4- Pupil Achievement Supplemental 1,401,000  4.Allocate funds to school site budgets to enable site based decision making Base 948,000  5.Current Teachers/Administrators Base 47,640,000   |
| 2.Engage learners at strategic transition points in order to facilitate academic success in subsequent years.  Early learners  Middle School transition  Transition to college and career  Programs and support that will be developed or continued to support student academic achievement will include:  TK/K Professional learning  IB Expansion to MYP  After school support for students at SVMS  AVID Tutor support  Career and College Counselors  Graduation rate support for target groups  EL/FY/LI Categorical support and dues  Expand college opportunities subgroups  Elementary Instructional support |                  | All OR:  X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | 1.State Priority 2- Implementation of Common Core Supplemental 70,000  2.State Priority 4- Pupil Achievement Supplemental 44,000  3.State Priority 5- Pupil Engagement Supplemental 18,900  4.State Priority 7- Course Access Supplemental 5,000  5.Rocklin Unified School District Strategic Planning Implementation Base 440,000  6.State Priority 8- Other Pupil Outcomes Supplemental 282,000 |
| <ul> <li>3.Support implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:</li> <li>Human Resources</li> <li>Educational Services</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>Custodial/Grounds</li> <li>Business</li> </ul>   |                  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                | 1.District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000   |
| <ul> <li>4.RUSD has engaged in a district wide process to create a strategic plan, which includes activated actions to meet our goals:</li> <li>Implement literacy practices</li> <li>Measure academic growth through assessments</li> <li>Foster healthy relationships and interactions</li> <li>Coordinate district wide resources for student long term goal setting/planning</li> <li>Support, motivate and guide struggling students</li> <li>Develop centralized support system for professional learning/blended learning included</li> </ul>   |                  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                | 1.RUSD Strategic Planning implementation Base 440,000   |

Page 12 of 47

| Measurable Outcomes: average, will receive additional targeted support. Use of interim a proficient on district interim assessments. A-G completion rates we emphasis to increase under-performing sub-groups - AP English two consecutive years) sub-group. Staff satisfaction of profession maintain above the county and state average; all subgroups who   | 2 percent increas<br>assessments will the<br>will be monitored a<br>n, AP Calculus and<br>nal development of<br>a are under district | be focused at the site level to increase format<br>and expected to increase by 2% annually. CT<br>AP Biology will be examined. CAHSEE pass<br>opportunities will be, on average 85% as a ba<br>t average will increase by 1%.Conditions of le                              | 2.State Priority 5- Pupil Engagement Supplemental 30,000  pared to the 2016 results. Students not demonstrating sufficient improvement, or scoring below district ive opportunities for learning. Interim assessments will show a 2 percent increase in students scoring E course completion rates will be increased by 2%. AP course access will be monitored with an a rates will maintain or increase incrementally for each under-performing (defined as below District for seline result as measured by participation rates and staff surveys targeting PD. Graduation rates will earning metrics on the SARC will be monitored to ensure all pupils have access to standards-aligned age of students meeting the CELDT criterion will be equal to or greater than that of Placer County. |
|--|--|--|--|
| Actions/Services S   | Scope of Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures   |
| I.Implement California Standards in Math, ELA/ELD and NGSS by providing new instructional materials, intervention support materials, professional learning opportunities supported by Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) and develop Transitional Kindergarten and Kindergarten programs to better support early learning.  2.Engage learners at strategic transition points in order to facilitate academic success in subsequent years.  Early learners  Middle School transition  Transition to college and career  Programs and support that will be developed or continued to support student academic achievement will include:  TK/K Professional learning  IB Expansion to MYP  After school support for students at SVMS  AVID Tutor support  Career and College Counselors  Graduation rate support for target groups  EL/FY/LI Categorical support and dues  Expand college opportunities subgroups  Elementary Instructional support |  | _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  _ All OR: _ Y Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Y Foster Youth _ X Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Y Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 1.State Priority 2- Implementation of California Standard Supplemental 577,600  2.State Priority 7- Course Access Supplemental 22,000  3.State Priority 4- Pupil Achievement Supplemental 1,401,000  4.Allocate funds to school site budgets to enable site based decision making Base 948,000  5.Current Teachers/Administrators Base 47,640,000  1.State Priority 2- Implementation of Common Core Supplemental 70,000  2.State Priority 4- Pupil Achievement Supplemental 44,000  3.State Priority 5- Pupil Engagement Supplemental 18,900  4.Course Access Supplemental 5,000  5.Rocklin Unified School District Strategic Planning Implementation Base 440,000  6.State Priority 8- Other Pupil Outcomes Supplemental 282,000   |
| B.Support implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:  Human Resources Educational Services Technology Custodial/Grounds Business   |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | 1.District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000  |
| I.RUSD has engaged in a district wide process to create a strategic plan, which includes activated actions to meet our goals:  |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils  | 1.RUSD Strategic Planning implementation Base 440,000  |

Page 13 of 47

|   | 1 aye 13 01 47   |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>Implement literacy practices</li> <li>Measure academic growth through assessments</li> <li>Foster healthy relationships and interactions</li> <li>Coordinate district wide resources for student long term goal setting/planning</li> <li>Support, motivate and guide struggling students</li> <li>Develop centralized support system for professional learning/blended learning included</li> </ul> | _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |
| 5.Continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational support for Special Ed department and staff including additional clerical support   | All OR:Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  1.Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  2. Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  3. Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000 |

|  |  |  |   |   | -   |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| 2. RUSD v  | vill ensure that staff continually build capacity through professiona  | al learning and grov                             | vth opportunities that support student achiev   | rement and success.   | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 _ 2 X 3 _ 4 X 5 X 6 X 7 _ 8 _  |
| GOAL 2:  |  |  |   |   | COE only: 9 _ 10 _  |
|  |  |  |   |   | Local : Specify <u>Strategies 1, 3</u>  |
| Identified Need :  | NEED Maintain highly qualified staff Provide appropriate and targeted professional learning to fully Ensure adequate conditions of learning in area of teacher assign  METRICS Professional learning pre/post surveys Highly Qualified Teacher data Classroom observational and validation data post-professional California Standards for the Teaching Profession School Accountability Report Card (SARC) (conditions of learn | gnments learning opportunit                      |   |   |   |
| Goal Applies to:   | Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All   |  |   |   |   |
|  | photosic rupii Guogroupo.  |  | LCAP Year 1: 2015-16  |   |   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:   | RUSD will maintain 99% or higher Highly Qualified Staff assign through pre and post professional learning surveys. Profession at 85% or above. Pre and post surveys will indicate that instruction the classroom. Conditions of learning metrics on the SARC value teaching.   | nal learning initiative<br>ction regarding deliv | es will be monitored through classroom obsevery of standards has made a positive impactaddress the following: the degree to which t                                   | ervational data and teacher surveys. Expect<br>of on practice. The California Standards for<br>teachers are appropriately assigned and fu | tation is that professional learning satisfaction will be targeted the Teaching Profession will be used as a measure of impact lly credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are |
|  | Actions/Services   | Scope of Service                                 | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  |   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures  |
|  | will be maintained and/or developed based on desired skills and the correct assignments to ensure student success.   | District-wide                                    | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.Classroom and Specialist (PE, VAPA, I<br>2.Instructional support including certificat<br>language. (Line 33) Supplemental 1,350         | ed and classified staff supporting development of English   |
| <ul> <li>TK and K targeted learn</li> <li>Focused learning on inc<br/>styles</li> <li>Project GLAD follow up</li> <li>Support staff training for</li> <li>Secondary English learn</li> <li>Support learning for Mul</li> </ul> | ing lusion, tolerance and teaching students with diverse learning training support those working directly with subgroups her professional learning ti-Tiered System of Support (MTSS)  | District - Wide                                  | _ All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | exceptional needs, English learners, Fos 8,000  | s with support staff working directly with students with ter Youth, and students in poverty. (Line 8) Supplemental  |
| <ul> <li>3. Support implementation of operational services including</li> <li>Human Resources</li> <li>Educational Services</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>Custodial/Grounds</li> <li>Business</li> </ul>                        | f LCAP goals through provision of administrative and ag:   | District-wide                                    | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.District Office departmental budgets E  | Base 586,000  |

Page 15 of 47

|  |   | LCAP Year 2: 2016-17  | Ĭ.   |
|--|---|---|--|
| Expected Annual RUSD will maintain 99% or higher Highly Qualified Staff assign Measurable Outcomes: through pre and post professional learning surveys. Profession   | nments. RUSD will all learning initiative | continue to offer and support professional le<br>s will be monitored through classroom obse   | arning opportunities that positively impact instructional practice and student outcomes as demonstrated rvational data and teacher surveys.  |
| Actions/Services   | Scope of Service                          | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures   |
| 1.Highly-qualified teachers will be maintained and/or developed based on desired skills and qualifications and placed in the correct assignments to ensure student success.  |   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.Classroom and Specialist (PE, VAPA, Music, etc.) Teachers Base 41,700,000  2.Instructional support including certificated and classified staff Supplemental 1,350,000  Supplemental 244,000  3.Projected LCFF funding increase for 2016-17 |
| <ul> <li>2.Professional learning will be provided and targeted to meet the identified needs of teachers, administrators and support staff including:</li> <li>TK and K targeted learning</li> <li>Focused learning on inclusion, tolerance and teaching students with diverse learning styles</li> <li>Project GLAD follow up training support</li> <li>Support staff training for those working directly with subgroups</li> <li>Secondary English learner professional learning</li> <li>Support learning for Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS)</li> </ul> |   | All OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs   | 1.State Priority 2- Implementation of California Standards Supplemental 20,000  2.State Priority 4- Pupil Achievement Supplemental 59,000  3.State Priority 6- School Climate Supplemental 10,000  |
| 3. Support implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:  Human Resources Educational Services Technology Custodial/Grounds Business  |   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000  |
| <ul> <li>4 .RUSD has engaged in a district wide process to create a strategic plan, which includes activated actions to meet our goals:</li> <li>Implement literacy practices</li> <li>Measure academic growth through assessments</li> <li>Foster healthy relationships and interactions</li> <li>Coordinate district wide resources for student long term goal setting/planning</li> <li>Support, motivate and guide struggling students</li> <li>Develop centralized support system for professional learning/blended learning included</li> </ul>            |   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.Rocklin Unified School District Strategic Planning Implementation Base 440,000   |
| 5.Continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational support for Special Ed department and staff including additional clerical support.   |   | _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | 1.Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  2.State Priority 5-School Climate Supplemental 30,000   |

|  | LCAP Year 3: 2017-18  | · ·  |
|--|---|--|
| Expected Annual RUSD will maintain 99% or higher Highly Qualified Staff assignments through pre and post professional learning surveys. Professional learning surveys.   | s. RUSD will continue to offer and support professional le<br>rning initiatives will be monitored through classroom obse  | earning opportunities that positively impact instructional practice and student outcomes as demonstrated ervational data and teacher surveys.  |
| Actions/Services Scop  | pe of Service Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  | Budgeted Expenditures  |
| Highly-qualified teachers will be maintained and/or developed based on desired skills and qualifications and placed in the correct assignments to ensure student success.  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.Classroom and Specialist (PE, VAPA, Music, etc.) Teachers Base 41,700,000  2.Instructional support including certificated and classified staff Supplemental 1,350,000  Supplemental 185,000  3.Projected LCFF funding increase for 2017-18 |
| <ul> <li>2.Professional learning will be provided and targeted to meet the identified needs of teachers, administrators and support staff including:</li> <li>TK and K targeted learning</li> <li>Focused learning on inclusion, tolerance and teaching students with diverse learning styles</li> <li>Project GLAD follow up training support</li> <li>Support staff training for those working directly with subgroups</li> <li>Secondary English learner professional learning</li> <li>Support learning for Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS)</li> </ul> | _ All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | 1.State Priority 2- Implementation of California Standards Supplemental 20,000 2.State Priority 4- Pupil Achievement Supplemental 59,000 3.State Priority 6- School Climate Supplemental 10,000  |
| 3. Support implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:  Human Resources Educational Services Technology Custodial/Grounds Business  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000  |
| 4.RUSD has engaged in a district wide process to create a strategic plan, which includes activated actions to meet our goals:  Implement literacy practices Measure academic growth through assessments Foster healthy relationships and interactions Coordinate district wide resources for student long term goal setting/planning Support, motivate and guide struggling students Develop centralized support system for professional learning/blended learning included  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1.Rocklin Unified School District Strategic Planning Implementation Base 440,000   |
| 5.Continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational support for Special Ed department and staff including additional clerical support.   | _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | 1.Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  2.State Priority 5-School Climate Supplemental 30,000   |

|   | will provide support systems for learning (during both the school ieve at high levels.   | day and after school) a  | and provide safe schools with healthy climate  | es where all students have opportunities   | Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 X 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 X 6 X 7 _ 8 X   |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
|   | leve at high levels.   |  |  |  |   |
| GOAL 3:   |  |  |  |  | COE only: 9 _ 10 _  |
|   |  |  |  |  | Local : Specify Strategies 2, 4, 5  |
| Identified Need:  | Provide intervention and support services to support acade Ensure physically and emotionally safe learning environment Increase levels of parent and community involvement include Ensure adequate conditions of learning in area of facilities.  METRICS  School Accountability Report Card (SARC) (conditions Coalition of Placer Youth Surveys Pre and post Parent/Staff/Student Surveys Dropout data Suspension/Expulsion data Incidents of bullying reported (including cyber-bullying) Community Service Graduation requirement completion Attendance at parent engagement events including tho  | ents for all students, inc<br>ding community service<br>of learning)<br>n rates  | cluding well-run district facilities, operations a<br>e opportunities  |  | y response systems.   |
|   |  |  |  |  |   |
| Goal Applies to:  | Schools: All   |  |  |  |   |
|   | Applicable Dupil Subgroups: All  |  |  |  |   |
| Expected Annual   | Applicable Pupil Subgroups:  Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu  |  |  |  |   |
|   | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu   | g and alcohol usage by<br>2% as evidenced throu  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR   | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last r<br>RB. Attendance at parent engagement events  | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and   |
| Expected Annual   | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above  | g and alcohol usage by<br>2% as evidenced throu  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metr  | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last r<br>RB. Attendance at parent engagement events<br>ics on the SARC will be monitored to address  | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and   |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable Outcome   | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.   | g and alcohol usage by<br>2% as evidenced throu<br>e on the Facilities Inspe   | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropole.  Pupils to be served within identified scope   | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last response to the last response to the last response to the last response to the same and t | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of supportangeted to subgroups in Services for students Instructional support  | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%. The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students   | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspension of Scope of Service  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropout Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last response to the last respective to the last response to the last response to the last res | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted  |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of supportangeted to subgroups in  Services for students Instructional support Family Tutoring Cen  2. Ensure socially, emoti  | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stures:  Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stures) increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students including: so with exceptional needs the store and Latino Literacy Project for EL students.  It increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above middle properties.   | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspension of Scope of Service  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropole    Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  All OR:  X Low Income pupils  X English Learners  X Foster Youth  X Redesignated fluent English proficient  X Other Subgroups: (Specify)  Students with exceptional needs  X All   | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last rest. Attendance at parent engagement events ics on the SARC will be monitored to address  1. Services for students with exceptional new 2. Support Family Tutoring Center and Latin 17,500  | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures  eds; general fund support Base 7,300,000   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of supportargeted to subgroups in  Services for students Instructional support Family Tutoring Cen  2. Ensure socially, emoti Partnering with Rock   | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and sturn increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students including: so with exceptional needs to renglish learners inter and Latino Literacy Project for EL students.   | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspension of Scope of Service  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropole and the service of service  All OR:  X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  X All OR:   | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last rest. Attendance at parent engagement events ics on the SARC will be monitored to address  1. Services for students with exceptional new 2. Support Family Tutoring Center and Latin 17,500  1. Small group counseling for students at ris 2. Partner with Rocklin Police to provide SR  | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures  eds; general fund support Base 7,300,000  no Literacy Project (Lines 34, 35, 36) Supplemental  sk emotionally (VHS) Line 8) Supplemental 8,000  Os at RHS, WHS, VHS Base 110,000   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of supportangeted to subgroups in Services for students Instructional support Family Tutoring Cen  2. Ensure socially, emoti Partnering with Rock Continue and expandence Continuing Family E  | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stuincrease in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  Ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students including: It is with exceptional needs at for English learners inter and Latino Literacy Project for EL students.  It ionally and physically safe school campuses by: It is included the provided the part in the provided the provi | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspension of Scope of Service  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropole and the service of service  All  OR:  X Low Income pupils  X English Learners  X Foster Youth  X Redesignated fluent English proficient  X Other Subgroups: (Specify)  Students with exceptional needs  X All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners   | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last rest. Attendance at parent engagement events its on the SARC will be monitored to address.  1. Services for students with exceptional need. 2. Support Family Tutoring Center and Latin 17,500.  1. Small group counseling for students at ris. 2. Partner with Rocklin Police to provide SR. 3. Family Engagement Coordinator. Base 12.   | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures  eds; general fund support Base 7,300,000  no Literacy Project (Lines 34, 35, 36) Supplemental  sk emotionally (VHS) Line 8) Supplemental 8,000  Os at RHS, WHS, VHS Base 110,000  20,000   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of supportangeted to subgroups in  Services for students Instructional support Family Tutoring Cen  2. Ensure socially, emoti Partnering with Rock Continue and expand Continuing Family E Small group counsel Professional learning                       | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stures:  Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stures) increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  Ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students including: Is with exceptional needs to the for English learners inter and Latino Literacy Project for EL students.  It ionally and physically safe school campuses by: It is increase in project to students in disturbed in the students in disturbed in the students i | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspension of Scope of Service  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropole and the service of service  All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  X All OR: Low Income pupils  | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last rest. Attendance at parent engagement events ics on the SARC will be monitored to address.  1. Services for students with exceptional need. 2. Support Family Tutoring Center and Latin 17,500.  1. Small group counseling for students at risc. 2. Partner with Rocklin Police to provide SR. 3. Family Engagement Coordinator. Base 12. 4. Expand opportunities for family engagement.   | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures  eds; general fund support Base 7,300,000  no Literacy Project (Lines 34, 35, 36) Supplemental  sk emotionally (VHS) Line 8) Supplemental 8,000  Os at RHS, WHS, VHS Base 110,000  20,000   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of supportangeted to subgroups in  Services for students Instructional support Family Tutoring Cen  2. Ensure socially, emoti Partnering with Rock Continue and expand Continuing Family E Small group counsel Professional learning                       | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students including: so with exceptional needs to for English learners inter and Latino Literacy Project for EL students.  cionally and physically safe school campuses by: klin Police and Fire departments and student behavior support through PBIS ingagement Coordinator cling at Victory High School g opportunities regarding tolerance ill-maintained facilities   | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspension of Scope of Service  | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metres are provided by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metres are provided by the compact of service.  All OR:  X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last rest. Attendance at parent engagement events its on the SARC will be monitored to address.  1. Services for students with exceptional need. 2. Support Family Tutoring Center and Latin 17,500.  1. Small group counseling for students at ris. 2. Partner with Rocklin Police to provide SR. 3. Family Engagement Coordinator. Base 12. 4. Expand opportunities for family engagement. 5. PBIS continuation and expansion to addit.   | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures  eds; general fund support Base 7,300,000  no Literacy Project (Lines 34, 35, 36) Supplemental  sk emotionally (VHS) Line 8) Supplemental 8,000  Cos at RHS, WHS, VHS Base 110,000  20,000  ent (Line 19) Supplemental 8,000  tional campuses (Lines 10 & 14) Supplemental 40,000 |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcome  1. Create layers of support targeted to subgroups in  Services for students Instructional support Family Tutoring Cen  2. Ensure socially, emoti Partnering with Rock Continue and expand Continuing Family E Small group counsel Professional learning Ensure safe and wel | Reduce incidences of bullying reported (by parents and stu increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  The district will also maintain a rating of very good or above maintained in good repair.  Actions/Services  ort to meet the identified needs of under performing students including: so with exceptional needs to for English learners inter and Latino Literacy Project for EL students.  cionally and physically safe school campuses by: klin Police and Fire departments and student behavior support through PBIS ingagement Coordinator cling at Victory High School g opportunities regarding tolerance ill-maintained facilities   | g and alcohol usage by 2% as evidenced through on the Facilities Inspection on the Facilities Inspection of Scope of Service District-wide | d expulsion rates, and dropout rates by 1 to 2 students as measured by the CPY, in grade ugh number of student cases referred to SAR ection Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metropole of service  All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth CR: Check Company (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  X All OR: Check Company (Specify) Check Company (Specify) Check Company (Specify) Check Company (Specify)                           | s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last rest. Attendance at parent engagement events ics on the SARC will be monitored to address.  1. Services for students with exceptional need. 2. Support Family Tutoring Center and Latin 17,500.  1. Small group counseling for students at risc. 2. Partner with Rocklin Police to provide SR. 3. Family Engagement Coordinator. Base 12. 4. Expand opportunities for family engagement.   | reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or including those focused on students with disabilities and the following: the degree to which school facilities are  Budgeted Expenditures  eds; general fund support Base 7,300,000  no Literacy Project (Lines 34, 35, 36) Supplemental  sk emotionally (VHS) Line 8) Supplemental 8,000  Cos at RHS, WHS, VHS Base 110,000  20,000  ent (Line 19) Supplemental 8,000  tional campuses (Lines 10 & 14) Supplemental 40,000 |

Page 18 of 47

|   |   |   | _ Foster Youth  | 4. Software Licensing Base 380,000  |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   | _ Redesignated fluent English proficient<br>_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | 5. Ongoing technology replacement and repair costs Base 300,000   |
| 4. Transportation of all stude  | nts will be organized for efficiency and safety.  | District-wide                               | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                               | Maintenance and Transportation Supplies Base 1,090,000  |
| <ul><li>Supporting English learn</li><li>Continuing Family Tutori</li></ul>   | nd community engagement by: ers at the secondary level to improve graduation rates ng Center & Latino Literacy Project for English learners r family engagement K-12      | District-wide                               | All OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | 2. ELAC/DELAC Meetings (Line 41) Supplemental 3,000   |
| <ul> <li>6. Support implementation of operational services including</li> <li>Human Resources</li> <li>Educational Services</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>Custodial/Grounds</li> <li>Business</li> </ul> | LCAP goals through provision of administrative and g:   | District-wide                               | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                               | 1. District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000  |
|   | s for students with exceptional needs and provide operational tment and staff including additional clerical support.  | District-wide                               | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify)                               | 2. Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  |
|   |   |   | LCAP Year 2: 2016-17  |   |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable Outcomes:   | increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug a above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to 2% students considered at risk will increase by 5%. | nd alcohol usage by<br>6 as evidenced throu | students as measured by the CPY, in grade<br>igh number of student cases referred to SAR  | 2%. An increase in positive school climate programs will be measured by pre and post surveys. A 2% s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or 2B. Attendance at parent engagement events including those focused on students with disabilities and ics on the SARC will be monitored to address the following: the degree to which school facilities are |
|   | Actions/Services  | Scope of Service                            | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures  |
| <ul><li>students targeted to subgrou</li><li>AVID Tutor support</li><li>Instructional materials for</li></ul>   | of support to meet the identified needs of under performing ps including:  r students with exceptional needs h exceptional needs  |   | All OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth X_ Redesignated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                            | 1. Priority 1- Basic Services Supplemental 10,000  2. State Priority 2- Implementation of Common Core Supplemental 30,000  3. Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  4. State Priority 3- Parental Involvement Supplemental 17,500  5. State Priority 4- Pupil Acheivement Supplemental 1,527,000   |

Page 19 of 47

| <ul> <li>Career and college counselors</li> <li>Family Tutoring Center and SVMS academic support (after school) for English learners</li> <li>Summer school for English learners K-8</li> <li>Data analyst support</li> <li>Continue to support students socially, emotionally and physically by providing safe school campuses by:         <ul> <li>Partnering with Rocklin Police and Fire departments</li> <li>Continue and expand student behavior support through PBIS</li> <li>Continuing family engagement coordinator</li> <li>Continuing to support small group counseling at Victory High School</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                    | 1. Partner with Rocklin Police and Rocklin Fire Department to implement Safety Committee recommendations Base 110,000  2. State Priority 6- School Climate Supplemental 68,000  3. Family Engagement Coordinator Base 120,000                              |
|---|--|--|
| Professional learning around topics of tolerance  |  |  |
| 3. Continue to maintain school facilities at high levels.   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                    | 1. Payroll for basic functioning of schools and support services. Base 13,650,000     2. Utilities Base 3,095,000     3. Insurance Base 630,000     4. Software Licensing Base 380,000     5. Ongoing technology replacement and repair costs Base 300,000 |
| Transportation of all students will be organized for efficiency and safety.   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                    | 1. Maintenance and Transportation Supplies Base 1,090,000  2. State Priority 1-Basic Services Supplemental 360,000   |
| <ul> <li>5. Continue work to increase levels of family and community engagement by:</li> <li>Supporting English learners at the secondary level to improve graduation rates</li> <li>Continuing Family Tutoring Center for English learners</li> <li>Continue promoting school and district level committee involvement for English learner families</li> <li>Continue work to expand opportunities for family engagement K-12</li> </ul>   | All OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth X_ Redesignated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | 1. State Priority 3- Parental Invovlement Supplemental 30,500  |
| 6. Continue to support implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:  Human Resources Educational Services Technology Custodial/Grounds Business   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                    | 1. District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000   |
| 7. Continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational support for Special Ed department and staff.   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                    | 1. Rocklin Unified School District Strategic Planning Implementation Base 440,000  |

| 8. Continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational  |  | All  | 1. Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000  |
|---|--|--|---|
| support for Special Ed department and staff including additional clerical support.  |  | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  | 2. State Priority 5-Pupil Engagement Supplemental 30,000  |
|   |  | LCAP Year 3: 2017-18   |   |
| Measurable Outcomes: increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease in drug and above 96% and chronic absenteeism will decrease by 1 to 2% students considered at risk will increase by 5%.  | d alcohol usage by<br>as evidenced throu | students as measured by the CPY, in grades gh number of student cases referred to SAR ction Tool (FIT). Conditions of learning metric  | %. An increase in positive school climate programs will be measured by pre and post surveys. A 2% s 5,7,9 and 11 will be observed over the last reporting period. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or B. Attendance at parent engagement events including those focused on students with disabilities and cs on the SARC will be monitored to address the following: the degree to which school facilities are  |
| Actions/Services  | Scope of Service                         | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service   | Budgeted Expenditures   |
| <ul> <li>1. Analyze the layers of support being used to meet the identified needs of under performing students targeted to subgroups including:</li> <li>AVID Tutor support</li> <li>Instructional materials for students with exceptional needs</li> <li>Services for students with exceptional needs</li> <li>Instructional support for English learners</li> <li>Renaissance Place hosting for data collection and monitoring</li> <li>Career and college counselors</li> <li>Family Tutoring Center and SVMS academic support (after school) for English learners</li> <li>Summer school for English learners K-8</li> <li>Data analyst support</li> <li>2. Review programs that provide socially, emotionally and physically safe school campuses by:</li> <li>Partnering with Rocklin Police and Fire departments</li> <li>Continue and expand student behavior support through PBIS</li> <li>Continuing family engagement coordinator</li> <li>Adding small group counseling at Victory High School</li> <li>Professional learning around topics of tolerance</li> </ul> |  | All OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth X_ Redesignated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs  X_ All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) | 1. Priority 1- Basic Services Supplemental 10,000 2. State Priority 2- Implementation of Common Core Supplemental 30,000 3. Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000 4. State Priority 3- Parental Involvement Supplemental 17,500 5. State Priority 4- Pupil Acheivement Supplemental 1,527,000 6. State Priority 8- Other Pupil Outcomes Supplemental 258,000  1. Partner with Rocklin Police and Rocklin Fire Department to implement Safety Committee recommendations Base 110,000 2. State Priority 6- School Climate Supplemental 68,000 3. Family Engagement Coordinator Base 120,000 |
| 3. Continue to ensure that school facilities are being maintained at high levels.   |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | Payroll for basic functioning of schools and support services. Base 13,650,000     Utilities Base 3,095,000     Insurance Base 630,000     Software Licensing Base 380,000     Ongoing technology replacement and repair costs Base 300,000   |
| 4. Transportation of all students will be organized for efficiency and safety.  |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | Maintenance and Transportation Supplies Base 1,090,000     State Priority 1-Basic Services Supplemental 360,000   |

Page 21 of 47

| <ul> <li>5. Analyze the levels of family and community engagement by:</li> <li>Supporting English learners at the secondary level to improve graduation rates</li> <li>Continuing Family Tutoring Center for English learners</li> <li>Promoting school and district level committee involvement for English learner families</li> <li>Expand Opportunities for family engagement K-12</li> </ul> | All OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs   | 1. State Priority 3- Parental Invovlement Supplemental 30,500  |
|---|---|--|
| <ul> <li>6. Review, analyze and adjust the implementation of LCAP goals through provision of administrative and operational services including:</li> <li>Human Resources</li> <li>Educational Services</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>Custodial/Grounds</li> <li>Business</li> </ul>  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1. District Office departmental budgets Base 586,000   |
| 7. Evaluate and continue to fund programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational support for Special Ed department and staff.  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                                 | 1. Rocklin Unified School District Strategic Planning Implementation Base 440,000  |
| 8. Evaluate programs for students with exceptional needs and provide operational support for Special Ed department and staff including additional clerical support.   | _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) Students with exceptional needs | Services for students with exceptional needs; general fund support Base 7,300,000      State Priority 5-Pupil Engagement Supplemental 30,000 |

# **Annual Update**

**Annual Update Instructions:** For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

# **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

| Original GOAL 1 1. R from prior year LCAP:   |   | fully implement California Common Core State Standards (CCC  | SS) to instructionaly chall  | lenge and meet the needs of all students   | Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 _ 2 X 3 _ 4 X 5 X 6 _ 7 X 8 X   |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| LCAF.  |   |  |  |  | COE only: 9 _ 10 _  |
|  |   |  |  |  | Local : Specify Strategic Plan 1,3  |
| Goal Applies to:   | Schools: ALL  |  |  |  | l   |
|  | Applicable Pupil Subgroups:   | ALL  |  |  |   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Gap between subgroups (LI, EL, Hispanic, African American and Foster) and general student population decreased 3% on each metric. Maintain 99% or higher of highly qualified teachers in core teacher assignments. 100% of instructional materials will be adopted according to board policy and Williams Act law. In addition, all students will have access to all core instructional materials.  METRICS Student achievement data will be analyzed by "all students" and numerically significant subgroups CAHSEE |   | Actual Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | le enrollment & success rates in AP courses  |  |   |
|  |   |  | <b>'ear:</b> 2014-15   |  |   |
|  | Planned Action  |  |  | Actual Actio   |   |
|  |   | Budgeted Expenditures  |  |  | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures  |
|  | orofessional services that support the CSS for all students including a focus for cant subgroups.                                   | • ELA/Math   | of ways.   | ig was implemented this year in a variety  | 1.Professional Development for certificated staff     Other 346,443   |
|  |   | <ul> <li>Technology integration (Naviance, Schoology, Google<br/>Docs, etc)</li> <li>Project based learning</li> </ul>                     | approach training teache<br>for 4 TOSAs was low du   | signment (TOSA) utilized a Lesson Study<br>ers at 17 (all) schools. Our cost estimate<br>the to specific individuals who were hired. | 2.Teachers on Special Assignment (Four positions) Other 362,000   |
|  |   | Other 380,000  | Additional dollars had to  | be allocated into this effort.   | 3.Develop coaching and learning model district-wide(TOSA work) Other 96,000   |
|  | 2.Teachers on Special Assignment (Four positions) Other 240,000  3.Develop coaching and learning model district-wide. Other 100,000 |  | 4. Funds were allocated to sites for the purpose of CCSS implementation. Sites determined best way to spend dollars.  Examples include, but were not limited to: professional learning to support site-specific needs; instructional assistants to support small group instruction carried out by teacher. Actuals do not include carry-over amount. |  | 4.Allocate funds to school site budgets to enable site based decision making. Base 890,000  |
|  |   |  |  |  | 5. Develop the Differentiation Certificate to incentivize offerings for GATE, GLAD, and Culturally Responsive teaching. 3,000 of cost was included in base program. |
|  |   | 4.Allocate funds to school site budgets to enable site based decision making. (Includes 2013-14 carry-over) Base 1,300,000                 | 5. One course in GATE  | and multiple opportunities for GLAD  | Supplemental 0 6. Fund BTSA participation and develop a District Leadership BTSA Design Team. Base 81,021   |
|  |   | 5.Develop the Differentiation Certificate to incentivize offerings for GATE, GLAD, and Culturally Responsive teaching. Supplemental 30,000 | training were offered to teachers. Both courses counted towards a district Differentiation Certificate for certificated staff. 3,000 of  |  | 7. Develop an incentive program to encourage ongoing professional growth for certificated staff. Base 0   |

|   |  |  |  |   | Fage 24 01 47  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
|   |  | 6.Fund BTSA participation and develop a District Leadership BTSA Design Team. Base 85,000  Develop an incentive program to encourage ongoing professional growth for certificated staff. Base 0  Common Core aligned Technology training-certificated and classified (13/14) Other 20,000  Common Core aligned training -certificated and classified (13/14) Other 40,000  Project GLAD Trainer of Trainers model (8 certified trainers in house) Supplemental 20,000  | participated in the B support the BTSA su 7. No resources wer 8. Schoology embed approximately 50 state common core ELA/n 9. Teachers and aid common core 10. Teachers trained   | e trained in math materials aligned to d in the GLAD approach were provided be certified as in-house trainers and | 8. Common Core aligned Technology training-certificated and classified (13/14) using Common Core 1x Funding dollars Other 10,000  9. Common Core aligned training -certificated and classified (13/14) using Common Core 1x Funding dollars Other 44,000  10. Project GLAD Trainer of Trainers model (8 certified trainers in house) Supplemental 20,000   |
| Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pup English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated flu Other Subgroups | s<br>uent English proficient   |  | Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated flue Other Subgroups:   | ent English proficient  |  |
| implementation of   | for materials and resources that support the CCCSS for all students including a focus for gnificant subgroups. | 1. Curriculum     6-9 Math Adoption     Envision     Digital Literacy     K-5 Math pilot Continue 6th grade math pilot  Base 590,000  2. Investment in instructional equipment and technologies to enhance instruction. Other 1,100,000  3. Explore/ develop CCCSS bridge materials including books and resources Provide teacher release time to Investigate K-6 writing programs ex: readers/writer's workshop Purchase sample materials for piloting (if necessary)  Other 130,000  4. Investigate assessment tools (formative, summative, progress monitoring) that align with CCCSS and district report cards Other 89,000  5. Supplemental Materials and Services Supplemental 100,000  6. Site discretionary budgets (Includes 2013-14 carry-over) Base 1,300,000  7. Expand TK and K programs to extended day, promote TK programs to community; support TK classrooms with additional funding | area.  2. Our costs for suppamount due to more  3. CCCSS bridge maparticipated in option were purchased for CCCSS 1 x funding Supplemental 13,30  4. RUSD purchased information system.  5. Supplemental maoriginally planned in  6.2014-15 Site discr | 20,500 10 1 EADMS as our new assessment tool and atterials and services were not provided as                      | 1.Curriculum     6-9 Math Adoption     Envision     Digital Literacy     K-5 Math pilot Continue 6th grade math pilot Base 396,000  2.Investment in instructional equipment and technologies to enhance instruction. Common Core 1x Funding dollars Other 1,100,000  3.Explore/ develop CCCSS bridge materials including books and resources Provide teacher release time to Investigate K-6 writing programs ex: readers/writer's workshop Purchase sample materials for piloting (if necessary) CCCSS 1 x funding 20,500 Supplemental 13,300  4.Investigate assessment tools (formative, summative, progress monitoring) that align with CCCSS and district report cards Common Core 1x Funding dollars Other 45,000  5.Supplemental Materials and Services Supplemental 37,400.00  6.Site discretionary budgets Base 890,000  7.Expand TK and K programs to extended day, promote TK programs to community; support TK classrooms with additional |

Page 25 of 47

|   | Explore full day K option Explore possibility of a 4 year old program. Supplemental 18,000  |   | funding Explore full day K option Explore possibility of a 4 year old program. Supplemental 16,000  |
|---|---|---|---|
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  _ All  OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  3.Provide school structures that support the implementation of | Current Teachers/Administrators Base 42,500,000   | Scope of Service  All  All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)  1-3,5. Due to raises and salary/position changes we were a little   | 1.Current Teachers/Administrators Base 44,880,000   |
| CCCSS for all students including a focus for our numerically significant subgroups.   | Current Instructional Aides Base 340,000 Supplemental Instructional Support (Existing special program teachers and aide support)  Supplemental 1,350,000 Site discretionary budgets (Includes 2013-14 carry-over) Base 1,300,000 Additional categorical support Supplemental 18,700   | off in our estimate. It wasn't until we had the right personnel in place and our bargaining units finished negotiations that we could see that in some areas we were under-budgeted and some areas we were over budgeted.   | 2.Current Instructional Aides Base 258,000  3.Supplemental Instructional Support (Existing special program teachers and aide support) Supplemental 1,342,000  4.Site discretionary budgets Base 890,000  5.Additional categorical support Supplemental 23,000   |
| Scope of Service  _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |   | Scope of Service All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| 4.Create layers of support to meet the identified needs of students including intervention and before and after school opportunities to increase academic success.  | 1.Continue to provide K-12 intervention services during the school day as flexible groupings based on formative needs assessments.  Pilot Response to Intervention (RtI) models.  Supplemental 230,000  2.Identify barriers to success and design ways to eliminate barriers, especially targeting subgroups. Supplemental 0  3. Professional development for support staff working directly with subgroups. Supplemental 20,000  4.Continue to support Family Tutoring Center (RES/CS) to provide additional support to struggling students in targeted subgroups. Supplemental 30,000  5.Continue after school opportunities for students that support core academic work. Supplemental 20,000  6.Continue to offer 2 week summer course for incoming K students of identified needs. (Bulldog Bootcamp) Supplemental 8,000  7.Assess, research and plan to implement academic and behavioral RTI and pre-referral intervention system. | <ol> <li>Development of a district-wide Rti plan will be included in the larger scope of an Multi-tiered system of support. (MTSS). 2015-16 LCAP funding (134,000) has been allotted for MTSS development.</li> <li>Action was not funded for 2014-15 and therefore did not take place.</li> <li>PD for support staff did take place, but it came out of other funding including Title II and Common Core money that needed to be spent in the 14/15 school year.</li> <li>Costs related to this program came in under estimated budget.</li> <li>Continue to offer 2 week summer course for incoming K students of identified needs. (Bulldog Bootcamp) Advertising was done at no to low cost (flyers, School Messenger) cost was included in base program.</li> <li>Action was not funded for 2014-2105 and therefore did not</li> </ol> | 1.Continue to provide K-12 intervention services during the school day as flexible groupings based on formative needs assessments.  Pilot Response to Intervention (RtI) models.  Supplemental 176,000  2.Identify barriers to success and design ways to eliminate barriers, especially targeting subgroups. Supplemental 0  3.Professional development for support staff working directly with subgroups.  Common Core 1x Funding dollars  20,000  4.Continue to support Family Tutoring Center (RES/CS) to provide additional support to struggling students in targeted subgroups. Supplemental 20,000  5.Continue after school opportunities for students that support core academic work. Supplemental 12,000  6.Continue to offer 2 week summer course for incoming K students of identified needs. (Bulldog Bootcamp)  Advertising was done at no to low cost (flyers, School |

Page 26 of 47

|   |  |  | Page 26 of 47   |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Supplemental 0  8.Add Data, Assessment and Evaluation support to monitor subgroups. (Data analyst and clerical support) Supplemental 20,000  9.Move from pilot phase and adopt for year one of myOn (electronic book access) for all English Learners in the district. Supplemental 8,000  | happen.  8. Position was not filled until late September resulting in lower total cost.  | Messenger) cost was included in base program. Supplemental 0  7.Assess, research and plan to implement academic and behavioral RTI and pre-referral intervention system. Supplemental 0  8.Add Data, Assessment and Evaluation support to monitor subgroups. (Data analyst and clerical support) Supplemental 13,549  9.Move from pilot phase and adopt for year one of myOn (electronic book access) for all English Learners in the district. Supplemental 8,000  |
| Scope of Service  All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  Service Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  5. Provide funding for professional services that support the implementation of CCCSS for all students including a focus for our numerically significant subgroups. | 1.Develop the Differentiation Certificate to incentive offerings for Guided Language Acquisition Design (GLAD), Culturally Responsive teaching, etc. Supplemental 30,000  2.Project GLAD Trainer of Trainers model (8 certified trainers in house) to expand training opportunities for classroom teachers and instructional assistants. Supplemental 20,000  3.Target Transitional Kindergarten teachers for additional Professional development to meet the needs of subgroups. Supplemental 8,000 | Scope of Service  X All  OR:     Low Income pupils     English Learners     Foster Youth     Redesignated fluent English proficient     Other Subgroups: (Specify)  X All  OR:     Low Income pupils     English Learners     Foster Youth     Redesignated fluent English proficient     Other Subgroups: (Specify)  1. One course in GATE and multiple opportunities for GLAD training were offered to teachers. Both courses counted towards a district Differentiation Certificate for certificated staff. 3,000 of cost was included in base program.  2. This work was completed and GLAD certified teachers will begin work with staff in the 2015-16 school year.  15,600 funded by 1x Common Core Funds 9,750 funded by Title 1  3. TK teachers met as a grade level group facilitated by our TOSA to articulate around best practices and attended training in June on Best Practices in TK.  Title 1 funds ended up being used for most of this work.  Title 1 4,200, Supplemental 700.00 | 1.Develop the Differentiation Certificate to incentive offerings for Guided Language Acquisition Design (GLAD), Culturally Responsive teaching, etc. 3,000 of cost was included in base program.  Supplemental 0  2.Project GLAD Trainer of Trainers model (8 certified trainers in house) to expand training opportunities for classroom teachers and instructional assistants.  15,600 funded by 1x Common Core Funds 9,750 funded by Title 1  Supplemental 0  3.Target Transitional Kindergarten teachers for additional Professional development to meet the needs of subgroups. Title 1 funds ended up being used for most of this work.  Title 1 4,200  Supplemental 700.00 |
| Scope of Service  EL, LI, Foster Youth  All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  | Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | σαρριστιαί 700.00   |

| 6.Provide funding for materials and resources that support the implementation of CCCSS for all students including a focus for our numerically significant subgroups. | 1.Expand TK and K programs to extended day targeted to schools with high populations of EL, LI and Foster Youth to enable students to have access to more instructional time to close the achievement gap. Supplemental 18,000  2.Supplemental Materials and Services Supplemental 100,000  3.Supplemental Instructional Support -existing special program (EL/LI small group intervention, teachers and aides) Supplemental 1,350,000   | 1.One additional TK class was added at one Title 1 site. TK and K expansion funding was included in base program.  2. Supplemental materials and services were not provided as originally planned in all areas.  | 1.Expand TK and K programs to extended day targeted to schools with high populations of EL, LI and Foster Youth to enable students to have access to more instructional time to close the achievement gap.  77,750. was included in base program.  Supplemental 0  2.Supplemental Materials and Services Supplemental 37,400.00  3.Supplemental Instructional Support -existing special program (EL/LI small group intervention, teachers and aides) Supplemental 1,342,000   |
|--|--|--|---|
| Scope of Service All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)                                |  | Scope of Service  X All OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| 7.Create layers of support to meet the identified needs of students including intervention and before and after school opportunities to increase academic success.   | 1.Partial hosting support for Renaissance learning assessment tools to target growth of identified subgroup students for early intervention. Supplemental 18,300  2.Additional Categorical Support Supplemental 18,700  3.Professional Development for support staff working directly with subgroups. Supplemental 20,000  4.Add Data, Assessment and Evaluation support to monitor growth of subgroups (percentage of Data Analyst)  Supplemental 20,000  5.Continue to provide K-12 intervention services during the school day as flexible groupings based on needs. Pilot Response to Intervention (Rtl) Models. Supplemental 230,000  6.Identify barriers to success and design ways to eliminate barriers, especially targeting subgroup participation. Supplemental 0  7.Continue to support Family Tutoring Center (RES/CS) to provide additional support to struggling students in targeted subgroups. Supplemental 30,000  8.Continue after school opportunities for students that support core academic work. Supplemental 20,000  9.Continue to offer a 2 week summer course for incoming K students of identified needs (Bulldog Boot camp). Supplemental 8,000 | <ol> <li>Other funding streams were used for this program.</li> <li>Actuals were lower based on salary level of Data analysis.</li> <li>Opportunities for Professional Development for support staff working directly with subgroups was funded through other sources. Not as many sessions were offered as originally planned.         Also used Title 1 funding (2,000)         </li> <li>This did not happen due to lack of funding source.</li> <li>Costs related to this program came in under estimated budget.</li> <li>Bulldog Boot Camp was advertised and promoted, but due to low enrollment the class was canceled.</li> </ol> | 1.Partial hosting support for Renaissance learning assessment tools to target growth of identified subgroup students for early intervention.  Restricted lottery funds were used for this cost. (16,000) Also used Title 1 funding (2,000) Supplemental 0  2.Additional Categorical Support Supplemental 13,430.  3.Professional Development for support staff working directly with subgroups. Also used Title 1 funding (2,000.) Supplemental 2,000  4.Add Data, Assessment and Evaluation support to monitor growth of subgroups (percentage of Data Analyst) Supplemental 13,400  5.Continue to provide K-12 intervention services during the school day as flexible groupings based on needs. Pilot Response to Intervention (Rtl) Models. Supplemental 187,000  6.Identify barriers to success and design ways to eliminate barriers, especially targeting subgroup participation. Supplemental 0  7.Continue to support Family Tutoring Center (RES/CS) to provide additional support to struggling students in targeted subgroups. Title 1 5,000 Supplemental 23,000  8.Continue after school opportunities for students that support core academic work. Supplemental 12,000  9.Continue to offer 2 week summer course for incoming K students of identified needs. (Bulldog Bootcamp) Advertising was done at no to low cost (flyers, School Messenger) cost was included in base program. Supplemental 0 |

| Page | 20 | ۰f | 4. |
|------|----|----|----|
| Paue | 20 | OI | 4  |

| Scope of Service  |   | Scope of Service  |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |   | All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)  |  |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?      | As a result of reviewing the broad scope of Goal 1 in relation to RUSE goals for 2015-16 have been revised to target specific achievable actimore specific targeted actions including a Multi-tiered system of supp climate, increasing family engagement and outreach, accessing qualitelements of the 2014-15 goals to address the needs of all students w college and career counselors, and accessing data to inform decision | ions. The revised Goal 1 for 2015-16 combines elements of Year 1 ort, establishing district wide intervention system, increasing culturaty services for our youngest learners and increasing data collection ith a focus on our subgroups (Low Income, EL, & Foster Youths) income, | (2014-15) Goals 1 & 2. Funding has been adjusted to meet the I and learning tolerance, increasing emotional safety and inclusive and evaluation of programs. RUSD will also continue the effective |

| Original GOAL 2 2. RUSD will ensure that all students engage from prior year LCAP:  | in meaningful and authentic learning experiences and find his or   | her passion as a learner.  |   | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _  |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| LOA!  |  |  |   | COE only: 9 _ 10 _  |
|   |  |  |   | Local : Specify Strategic Plan 1,3  |
| Goal Applies to: Schools: All   |  |  |   | <u> </u>  |
| Applicable Pupil Subgroups:   | All  |  |   |   |
| Measurable Outcomes:  METRICS  School Single Plans for Student Ach Graduation rates Dropout rates (middle and high) Truancy/ absentee rates | a (every two years beginning 2016-17))   | Actual Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | Achievement     Graduation rates/96.1%(increase 1     Dropout rates (middle and high) Co     Truancy/ absentee rates 28.61%     Participation rates in secondary ext  | tracurricular activities-<br>ere sent May 2015 to parents(631 responses), students (87  |
|   | LCAP \   | <b>/ear</b> : 2014-15  |   |   |
| Planned Act   | ons/Services   |  | Actual Action   | ons/Services  |
|   | Budgeted Expenditures  |  |   | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures  |
| Create and expand unique programs to engage all learners.   | 3.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,350,000  4. Professional development to support TK staff (Developmentally appropriate & play-based learning classroom structures.) Supplemental 8,000  5.Research innovative programs, for example: Early childhood programs including 4year old program, Dual Immersion, IB Expansion, STEAM, Early College Program, magnet programs, blended learning, Project-based learning etc. Supplemental 0  6.Research expansion of CTE offerings at all high schools (CA Careers Trustways Grant) including a biomedical technology program at Rocklin High School. Base 0  7.Research expansion of self-contained GATE classrooms in the district. Base 0  8.Train additional teachers in GATE/Differentiation. Title II 5,000  9. Add AVID tutors (College students) Supplemental 30,000 | with bargaining groups.  2. For instructional aides, expenditures and it shoul  4. 3. TK teachers met as TOSA to articulate around June on Best Practices in Title 1 funds ended up be Title 1 4,200, Supplemen  5. Funds were not allocate not happen in 2014-2015 allocate funds for this wo  6. Funds were not allocated in the properties of | a grade level group facilitated by our d best practices and attended training in TK. eing used for most of this work. Ital 700.00 ted for these programs and therefore did it. The 2015-2016 LCAP goals do rk. Ital for these programs and therefore 015. The 2015-2016 LCAP goals do | 1.Current Teachers/Administrators Base 44,880,000 2.Current Instructional Aides Base 258,000 3.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,342,000 4.Professional development to support TK staff (Developmentally appropriate & play-based learning classroom structures.) Title 1, 4,200 Supplemental 700 5.Research innovative programs, for example: Early childhood programs including 4year old program, Dual Immersion, IB Expansion, STEAM, Early College Program, magnet programs, blended learning, Project-based learning etc. Supplemental 0 6.Research expansion of CTE offerings at all high schools (CA Careers Trustways Grant) including a biomedical technology program at Rocklin High School. Base 0 7.Research expansion of self-contained GATE classrooms in the district. Base 0 8.Train additional teachers in GATE/Differentiation. Base 3,000 9. Add AVID tutors (College students) Supplemental 2,000 10. WestEd review of Special Education supports and services Base 25,000 |

Page 30 of 47

|   |  |   | Page 30 of 47  |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  | made adjustments to the work hours to make the position more appealing.   |  |
|   |  | 10. Due to several identified needs, RUSD contracted with an outside agency (WestEd Center for Prevention and Early Intervention) to collect data on current programs and processes in our Special Education department. This report will help guide our work in the coming years and will act as a baseline for analyzing effectiveness of services provided to students with exceptional needs. |  |
| Scope of Service All Subgroups  |  | Scope of Service  |  |
| _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |  |
| 2.Increase participation in extracurricular activities (band, drama, technology, sports and clubs etc.)                               | 1.Outreach to subgroups to identity and eliminate barriers to participation in after school activities. Supplemental 0     2.Identify ways to create equitable opportunities for all students to participate in extra curricular opportunities. Supplemental 0   | 1-2 Action was not funded 2014-2015 and therefore did not happen. LCAP Goals for 2015-2016 have been rewritten and this issue to be addressed in another area.  | 1.Outreach to subgroups to identity and eliminate barriers to participation in after school activities. Supplemental 0     2.Identify ways to create equitable opportunities for all students to participate in extra curricular opportunities. Supplemental 0   |
| Scope of All Subgroups Service  |  | Scope of Service  |  |
| _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |  |
| All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)                  |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |  |
| 3.Create and expand unique programs to engage all learners.   | 1.Research innovative programs, for example: preschool, Dual Immersion, IB Expansion, STEM, Early College Program, magnet programs, blended learning, Project based learning etc. Supplemental 0      2.Add AVID tutors for middle and high schools to target the needs of identified struggling students. Supplemental 30,000 | 1.Research completed for IB expansion. Other innovative programs were not researched to to lack of funding allocation.     2. Only two AVID tutors were hired due to lack of applicants.     4.Target TK teachers for additional Professional development to meet the needs of subgroups.     Title 1 money was also used, 4,200  | Research innovative programs, for example: preschool, Dual Immersion, IB Expansion, STEM, Early College Program, magnet programs, blended learning, Project based learning etc.  Trip to Minnesota to explore IB expansion; paid for with Common Core one time dollars (600.00) and base funding Base 15,000 |
|   | 3.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,350,000  |   | Add AVID tutors for middle and high schools to target the needs of identified struggling students.   |

|   |  |  | Fage 31 01 47  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | 4.Target TK teachers for additional Professional development to meet the needs of subgroups.   |  | Cost included in base program.   |
|   | Supplemental 8,000   |  | 3. Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,342,000   |
|   |  |  | Target TK teachers for additional Professional development to meet the needs of subgroups.     Title 1 money was also used, 4,200     Supplemental 700.00  |
| Scope of EL, LI, Foster Youth Service   |  | Scope of Service   |  |
| All   |  | X All  |  |
| OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)       |  | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  |
| 2.Increase participation in extracurricular activities (band, drama, technology, sports and clubs etc.)                               | 1.Outreach to subgroups to identity and eliminate barriers to participation in after school activities. Supplemental 0     2.Identify ways to create equitable opportunities for all students to participate in extra curricular opportunities. Supplemental 0                         | <ol> <li>Action was not funded 2014-2015 and therefore did not happen. LCAP Goals for 2015-2016 have been rewritten and this issue to be addressed in another area.</li> <li>Action was not funded 2014-2015 and therefore did not happen. LCAP Goals for 2015-2016 have been rewritten and this issue to be addressed in another area.</li> </ol> | 1.Outreach to subgroups to identity and eliminate barriers to participation in after school activities. Supplemental 0     2.Identify ways to create equitable opportunities for all students to participate in extra curricular opportunities. Supplemental 0 |
| Scope of EL, LI, Foster Youth Service   |  | Scope of Service   |  |
| _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |  | _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  |
| will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?  | a result of reviewing the broad scope of Goal 2 in relation to RUSD als for 2015-16 have been revised to target specific achievable action port of Transitional Kindergarten programs, piloting a full-day K moreover the program implementation to address the needs of all students. | ons. The revised Goal 2 for 2015-16 combines elements of Year 1 odel, providing training for instructional support staff and implement   | (2014-15) Goals 2 & 3. Focus areas include expansion and ation of social emotional behavioral programs with staff training to  |

| Original GOAL 3 3. RUSD will create student academic growth through dynamic, relevant and increasingly challenging learning experiences. from prior year LCAP: |  |   | Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 _ 2 _ 3 X 4 X 5 X 6 X 7 _ 8 _  COE only: 9 _ 10 _ |   |
|--|--|---|---|---|
|  |  |   |   | Local : Specify <u>Strategic Plan 2,4,5</u>   |
| Goal Applies to: Schools: All  |  |   |   | '   |
| Applicable Pupil Subgroups   | All  |   |   |   |
|  | ion<br>ate<br>ion of Capstone<br>dle school  | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:  | SBAC (not yet available)  | of Capstone-2986/170<br>chool   |
|  | LCAP \   | Year: 2014-15   |   |   |
| Planne   | Actions/Services   |   | Actual Action   | s/Services  |
|  | Budgeted Expenditures  |   |   | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures  |
| 1. Implement literacy practice within and across content at as the foundation for teaching and learning based on adop CCCSS.                                   | TOSAs (ELA, Math, RETT Committees) to identify effective research-based literacy strategies to support implementation of CCCSS. Base 0  2. Communicate research and evidence based | not take place. It will be addressed through modified goals in future LCAP.  Teachers exploration of literacy through the writer's workshop model occurred but was funded by CCSS 1x money and base funding.  2. CDE presenters reviewed the ELA/ELD frameworks with teachers, district leadership and TOSAs. Subs were funded with |   | 1. Create a TK-12 instructional team in conjunction with TOSAs (ELA, Math, RETT Committees) to identify effective research-based literacy strategies to support implementation of CCCSS. Writer's Workshop exploration funded with 1x Common Core funding 20,530 Base 13,300. |
|  | comprehensive and disciplinary literacy strategies to staff.  Base 0  3. Develop strong conceptual understanding of the subject area reflected in respective CCCSS.                |   |   | 2. Communicate research and evidence based comprehensive and disciplinary literacy strategies to staff. Subs were funded with CCSS 1X money. Other 3,450  |
|  | Base 0   |   | th content areas occurred through the use were funded with CCSS 1X money.                   | <ol> <li>Develop strong conceptual understanding of the subject area<br/>reflected in respective CCCSS.</li> <li>Funded with 1x Common Core funding 34,000</li> </ol>   |
| Scope of Service All Subgroups   |  | Scope of<br>Service   |   |   |
| X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                          |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent E _ Other Subgroups: (Sp  |   |   |

Page 33 of 47

| 2.Increase performance on academic measures.   | 1.Current Teachers/Administrators Base 42,500,000 2.Current Instructional Aides Base 600,000 3.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,350,000 4.Align district benchmarks to reflect increased rigor of CCCSS and Assessments; research, pilot and purchase progress monitoring tools.  Other 89,000 5.Renaissance Place portion of hosting fee (progress monitoring tool) Supplemental 18,300 | <ol> <li>Negotiated salary increases raised the cost of all teachers and administrators. Actual costs were 2,380,000 over budgeted amount.</li> <li>For instructional aides, a mistake was made in our budgeted expenditures and it should have said 340,000.</li> <li>Estimated cost for additional instructional support was high. Actual costs were 15,000 under budgeted amount.</li> <li>While \$89,000 was budgeted, actual costs were \$45,000. Exploration of free CAASPP resources (digital library and interim assessments) rather than fee based programs was responsible for the reduction.</li> <li>Renaissance Place-portion of the hosting fee (progress monitoring tool)</li> </ol> | 1.Current Teachers/Administrators Base 44,880,000  2.Current Instructional Aides Base 258,000  3.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,342,000  4.Align district benchmarks to reflect increased rigor of CCCSS and Assessments; research, pilot and purchase progress monitoring tools.  Common Core 1x funding used. 45,000  5.Partial hosting support for Renaissance learning assessment tools to target growth of identified subgroup students for early intervention.  Restricted lottery funds were used for this cost. (16,000)  Also used Title 1 funding (2,000)  Supplemental 0 |
|--|---|---|--|
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  X All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)  3. Create college and career ready students. |   | Scope of Service  X All OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)  1. We estimated salary based on hiring counselors at the middle-  |  |
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  X All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)   | Career and College Counselors including; adding two high school counselors, one middle school counselor to support Naviance Implementation, alignment to National School counseling and guidance standards Supplemental 280,000   | Note estimated salary based of filling counselors at the midde- high range of the salary schedule and our new counselors came in lower than anticipated.  Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)   | Career and College Counselors including; adding two high school counselors, one middle school counselor to support Naviance Implementation, alignment to National School counseling and guidance standards Supplemental 223,000  |
| 4.Learning experiences will promote essential life, learning and career skills to include creativity, innovation, problem solving, critical thinking, etc.   | Develop a TK-12 team in conjunction with TOSAs (ELA, Math, RETT Committees) to investigate the application and best practices of resources such as P21 and Future Work Skills 20/20. Base 0      Communicate findings of investigation to stakeholders. Base 0      Design an implementation plan to incorporate best practice into first instruction.  Base 0  | 1-4. Funding was not allocated for this work for 2014-2015. LCAP goals and budget have been revised to address this work 2015-2016.   | Develop a TK-12 team in conjunction with TOSAs (ELA, Math, RETT Committees) to investigate the application and best practices of resources such as P21 and Future Work Skills 20/20. Base 0      Communicate findings of investigation to stakeholders. Base 0      Design an implementation plan to incorporate best practice into first instruction. Base 0  |

Page 34 of 47

|  | · ·  |  | I   | Page 34 01 47   |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Service  X All OR: Low Income pupi English Learners Foster Youth   | ent English proficient   |  | Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| 5.Appropriate techn support effective in   | nology tools and resources are integrated to struction and learning. | 1. Enhance RETT to directly support on-going technology integration throughout the district. Integrate RETT members into district planning teams (ELA and Math committees K-12) working on CCCSS aligned lesson development.  Base 0  2. Develop a phased-in model to implement Chromebooks and Google Apps for Education (or other district identified hardware and software) over a five year period with professional learning and hardware support. Base 0  3. Establish on-going pilot programs to identify emerging instructional technology practices such as blended learning, virtual schools, digital textbooks and 1:1 student technology models. Base 0  4. Identify and implement student technology standards for software and applications based upon the CCCSS, ISTE NET's, and 21st Century Skills.  Base 0 | RETT team met regularly throughout the 2014-2015 school year. Results included developing a Technology Implementation Plan for RUSD which included cyber-safety and digital ethics, Schoology Learning Management System, Google Apps for Education, equity in student access to technology and professional development for staff.  3-4. A timeline and goals for completing this work was developed as part of the RETT Technology Implementation Plan. | 1.Enhance RETT to directly support on-going technology integration throughout the district. Integrate RETT members into district planning teams (ELA and Math committees K-12) working on CCCSS aligned lesson development. This work was accomplished by RUSD's CTO in conjunction with teachers, TOSAs, and administrators. Meetings occurred after school. Costs Included in Base program.  2. Develop a phased-in model to implement Chromebooks and Google Apps for Education (or other district identified hardware and software) over a five year period with professional learning and hardware support. This work was funded through 1x Common Core dollars.  1,731,000  Base 0  3. Establish on-going pilot programs to identify emerging instructional technology practices such as blended learning, virtual schools, digital textbooks and 1:1 student technology models.  Meetings occurred after school. Costs Included in Base program.  4. Identify and implement student technology standards for software and applications based upon the CCCSS, ISTE NET's, and 21st Century Skills.  Meetings occurred after school. Costs Included in Base program. |
| Service  _ All OR: _ Low Income pupi _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated flue _ Other Subgroups | ent English proficient<br>s: (Specify)                               |  | Scope of Service All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| 6.Create college ar  | nd career ready students.  | 1.Career and College Counselors including; adding two high school counselors, one middle school counselor to support Naviance Implementation, alignment to National School counseling and guidance standards. Additional counseling staff will decrease the ratio of counselors to students and allow for more targeted intervention and support for subgroups. Supplemental 280,000   |   | 1.Career and College Counselors including; adding two high school counselors, one middle school counselor to support Naviance Implementation, alignment to National School counseling and guidance standards. Additional counseling staff will decrease the ratio of counselors to students and allow for more targeted intervention and support for subgroups. Supplemental 223,000  |

Page 35 of 47

|  |  |   | 3  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Scope of Service  LEA Wide  X All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)         |  |
| 7.Increase performance on academic measures.   | 1.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,350,000      2.Renaissance Place-portion of the hosting fee (progress monitoring tool) Supplemental 18,300 | 2.Other funding streams were used for this program.   | 1.Supplemental Instructional Support Supplemental 1,342,000 2.Partial hosting support for Renaissance learning assessment tools to target growth of identified subgroup students for early intervention.  Restricted lottery funds were used for this cost. (16,000) Also used Title 1 funding (2,000.) Supplemental 0 |
| Scope of Service  EL, LI, Foster Youth  Service  EL, LI, Foster Youth  EL, LI, Foster Youth  Service  EL, LI, Foster Youth  Foster Youth  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify) |  | Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) |  |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?   | goals for 2015-16 have been revised to target specific achievable ac   | ctions. The revised Goal 3 for 2015-16 combines elements of `als and the subsequent Technology Implementation Plan, RET                       | T representatives integrated into district planning teams (ELA and Math),  |

| Original GOAL 4 4. RUSI from prior year LCAP:  |  | arning environments and provide safe schools where all students   | s have the chance to become  | me healthy, self-aware, resilient, and high   | h- Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 _ 2 _ 3 _ 4 _ 5 X 6 X 7 _ 8 _  |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| LOAF.  |  |   |  |   | COE only: 9 _ 10 _  |
|  |  |   |  |   | Local : Specify <u>Strategic Plan 2</u>   |
| Goal Applies to:   | Schools: All   |   |  |   |   |
|  | Applicable Pupil Subgroups:  |   |  |   |   |
| Measurable Outcomes:  ME  ME   | opout rates by 3%. An increase in position attendance rates at or above 96 rough number of student cases referred ove on the Facilities Inspection Tool (FETRICS | ailable every two years beginning 2015-16)  | Actual Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | assessmentsCoalition of Placer You Parent/Staff Surveys (631 parent Dropout data - 2% SARB, Suspension/Expulsion data Incidents of Bullying reported (inclu Work order audits Certified Support Staff retention rate Attendance data - 96.94% FIT report; AC, 100%, BR, 100%, C | - 2.4%/0.1%<br>ding Cyber-bullying)   |
|  |  | LCAP Y  | ear: 2014-15   |   |   |
|  | Planned Action   |   |  | Actual Action   | ons/Services  |
|  |  | Budgeted Expenditures   |  |   | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures  |
| Ensure physically safe in the functioning emergency res  | school campuses and a highly sponse system.  | <ol> <li>Continue working with Rocklin PD and Rocklin FD:</li> <li>Safety Committee recommendations</li> <li>School Resource Officer</li> <li>Canine Drug Detection</li> <li>School Messenger</li> <li>Audits/Training (D-PREP)</li> <li>Social media awareness Base 123,000</li> </ol> | develop our emergency re<br>language used by both th   | action with Rocklin PD and Rocklin FD to esponse plan so it is consistent with the fire and police departments.  Intract with Interquest for their Canine   | <ol> <li>Continue working with Rocklin PD and Rocklin FD:</li> <li>Safety Committee recommendations</li> <li>School Resource Officer</li> <li>Canine Drug Detection</li> <li>School Messenger</li> <li>Audits/Training (D-PREP)</li> <li>Social media awareness Base 119,000</li> </ol> |
| Scope of All Su<br>Service   | ubgroups   |   | Scope of<br>Service  |   |   |
| <u>(</u> All   |  |   | X All  |   |   |
| )R:  |  |   | OR:  |   |   |
| Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent Eng Other Subgroups: (Spec |  |   | <ul><li>Low Income pupils</li><li>English Learners</li><li>Foster Youth</li><li>Redesignated fluent En</li><li>Other Subgroups: (Spengler)</li></ul> |   |   |
| 2. Ensure socially and em  | notionally safe school environments.   | Pilot behavior intervention and support systems (PBIS, Love and Logic, Leader in Me, etc)     School wide system  | supplies and training were<br>Elementary trained their e   | TE was slightly lower than original and e funded through a grant. Rocklin entire staff using the PBIS model. A ended training offered through the grant   | 1.Pilot behavior intervention and support systems (PBIS, Love and Logic, Leader in Me, etc)     School wide system  |

Page 37 of 47

|   |   |   | Page 37 of 47   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | <ul> <li>Parent component-Family Resource Center, partnership with KidsFirst</li> <li>Teacher training</li> <li>Pilot site initial year implementation support (.2 FTE) Supplemental 20,000</li> <li>Continue work with Coalition of Placer Youth (CPY) on Drug and Alcohol Prevention programs. Base 0</li> <li>Identify internet/cyber safety curriculum for use K-12 Base 0</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>and met bi-monthly to plan implementation of PBIS. Roll-out of PBIS school-wide began in January 2015. Additional sites began exploration of PBIS.</li> <li>2. Coalition of Placer Youth Drug and Alcohol Prevention surveys are conducted on a rotating basis and were completed in 2014 therefore no costs were incurred in 2014/15.</li> <li>3. Cyber safety goals were developed through Rocklin Educational Technology Team (RETT) at no cost.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Parent component-Family Resource Center, partnership with KidsFirst</li> <li>Teacher training</li> <li>Pilot site initial year implementation support (.2 FTE) Supplemental 14,560</li> <li>2.Continue work with Coalition of Placer Youth (CPY) Drug and Alcohol Prevention programs. No costs incurred in 2014/15</li> <li>3.Identify internet/cyber safety curriculum for use K-12. Work done through RETT Committee Meetings occurred after school. Costs Included in Base program.</li> </ul> |
| Scope of All Subgroups Service  |   | Scope of Service  |   |
| _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)                   |   | X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| 3. Maintain school facilities at high levels.   | 4. Payroll for basic functioning of schools and support services. Base 12,400,000  Utilities Base 2,700,000  Insurance Base 600,000  5. Software licensing Base 400,000  6. Develop a plan and budget to fund ongoing technology replacement and repair costs district wide. Base 300,000   | <ul> <li>4. Ongoing costs were higher than original estimate by 1,090,000</li> <li>5. Ongoing costs for utilities were higher than original estimate by 327,000.</li> <li>6. Developed a plan for ongoing technology replacement and repair through RETT team.</li> </ul>   | 4. Payroll for basic functioning of schools and support services.  Base 13,490,000  Utilities Base 3,027,000  Insurance Base 595,000  5. Software licensing Base 414,000  6. Develop a plan and budget to fund ongoing technology replacement and repair costs district wide. Base 300,000  |
| Scope of Service  _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |   | Scope of Service All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| 4.Transportation of all students will be organized for efficiency and safety.   | 1.Maintenance and Transportation Supplies Base 1,500,000     2.Supplemental Transportation for free and reduced students. Supplemental 350,000     3.Support English Learner Summer School Transportation Supplemental 10,000   | <ol> <li>Due to several factors our estimated costs for transportation was less than anticipated. Price of diesel was down by 100,000. Accounting changes for tracking deferred maintenance accounted for 337,000 less expenditures.</li> <li>This is still an estimated cost prior to summer school but total was revised based on 2014 actuals.</li> </ol>  | 1.Maintenance and Transportation Supplies Base 983,000     2.Supplemental Transportation for free and reduced students.     Supplemental 350,000     3. Support English Learner Summer School Transportation Supplemental 5,000   |
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  _ All OR:  |   | Scope of Service  _ All OR:   |   |

Page 38 of 47

| _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |  | _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)   X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 5.Ensure socially and emotionally safe school environments.   | 1.Pilot behavior intervention and support systems (PBIS, Love and Logic, Leader in Me, etc)  School wide system Parent component Teacher component Pilot site support for initial implementation (.2 FTE) Supplemental 20,000  | 1. Estimated cost for .2 FTE was slightly lower than original and supplies and training were funded through a grant. Rocklin Elementary trained their entire staff using the PBIS model. A team of 10 members attended training offered through the grant and met bi-monthly to plan implementation of PBIS. Roll-out of PBIS school-wide began in January 2015. Additional sites began exploration of PBIS. | 1.Pilot behavior intervention and support systems (PBIS, Love and Logic, Leader in Me, etc)  • School wide system  • Parent component  • Teacher component  • Pilot site support for initial implementation (.2 FTE) Supplemental 14,560 |
| Scope of School Wide  X All  OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient   |  | Scope of Service All OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient  |  |
| _ Cother Subgroups: (Specify)  6. Ensure students have access to extended learning opportunities.   | Support English Learner Summer School Transportation Supplemental 10,000      Supplemental transportation for EL, LI, FY students.  Supplemental 350,000   | _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  1-2. Supplemental transportation for EL, LI, FY students.  | Support English Learner Summer School Transportation     Supplemental 10,000      Supplemental transportation for EL, LI, FY students.  Supplemental 350,000   |
| Scope of Service  EL, LI, Foster Youth  X All  OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)   | Supplemental 350,000   | Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | Supplemental 350,000   |
| will be made as a result of reviewing past progress goals to and/or changes to goals?  goals to bullying in drug studen   | for 2015-16 have been revised to target specific achievable action greported (by parents and students), suspension and expulsion grand alcohol usage by students as measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students as measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students as measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students as measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students as measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY, in grand alcohol usage by students are measured by the CPY. | Strategic Planning, receiving input from stakeholder groups, review ons. The revised Goal 4 for 2015-16 combines elements of Year 1 (a rates, and dropout rates, an increase in positive school climate proades 5,7,9 and 11. RUSD will maintain attendance rates at or above of very good or above on the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT). Condined in good repair.  | (2014-15) Goals 4 & 5. New actions include: reduce incidences of grams. A 2% increase in positive climate metrics and a decrease e 96% and chronic absenteeism as evidenced through number of  |

| Original GOAL 5 from prior year LCAP:  METRICS  • Parent/Staff Surveys  | local partnerships that provide learning opportunities and comm   | nunity service experiences.  | Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 _ 2 _ 3 <u>X</u> 4 _ 5 <u>X</u> 6 _ 7 _ 8 _  COE only: 9 _ 10 _   |
|---|---|--|---|
| <ul> <li>Student Survey</li> <li>Community Action Planning Groups</li> <li>Community Service data K-12</li> <li>Community Service Graduation requirement</li> </ul>                   | ent completion rates  |  | Local : Specify <u>Strategic Plan 4</u>   |
| Goal Applies to: Schools: All   |   |  | •   |
| Applicable Pupil Subgroups:   |   |  |   |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  METRICS  Parent/Staff Surveys  Student Survey  Community Action Planning Groups  Community Service data K-12  Community Service Graduation requ |   | Measurable assessmentsCoalition of Placer You Outcomes: Parent/Staff Surveys (631 parent Student Survey - (87 student response)  | nses) s - Strategic planning teams met at six RUSD schools; TO, VV,   |
|   | LCAP Y  | /ear: 2014-15  |   |
| Planned Acti  | ons/Services  | Actual Actio   | ns/Services   |
|   | Budgeted Expenditures   |  | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures  |
| Increase opportunities for community service at all grade levels.   | 1.Research options and grant writing for service learning expansion including grant writing and opportunities Base 0     2.Develop a communication system to educate and inform parents of community service opportunities/service learning.  Base 0  | <ol> <li>This work was unfunded and therefore did not take place. It will be addressed through modified goals in future LCAP.</li> <li>Use of School Messenger calling system was expanded and used at both school site and district levels. Increased presence through targeted RUSD web page, a beta version of RUSD app was launched. This work was completed by current staff at no additional cost.</li> </ol>  | 1.Research options and grant writing for service learning expansion including grant writing and opportunities     2.Develop a communication system to educate and inform parents of community service opportunities/service learning. Costs Included in Base program.   |
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  All OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)                       |   | Scope of Service  X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |   |
| 2.Ensure all students have access to support services and programs that focus on college and career readiness.  | Career and College Counselors including; adding two high school counselors, one middle school counselor to support Naviance Implementation in alignment to National School counseling and guidance standards Supplemental 280,000      Identify community partnerships to give students opportunities for hands on learning experiences outside the classroom. Base 0 | <ul> <li>2. This work was unfunded and therefore did not take place. It will be addressed through modified goals in future LCAP.</li> <li>3. The STARS program continued at Whitney High School serving students throughout RUSD. During the 2014-2015 school year 26 high school students learned valuable career skills serving in four elementary schools throughout the district. In 2015-2016, 35 students have been placed in four elementary</li> </ul> | Career and College Counselors including; adding two high school counselors, one middle school counselor to support Naviance Implementation, alignment to National School counseling and guidance standards Supplemental 223,000     Identify community partnerships to give students opportunities for hands on learning experiences outside the classroom. |

|  | 3. Explore expansion of Students Teaching and Reaching Standards (STARS) tutoring program. Base 0  4. Encourage community leader participation in school.  • Sponsorship  • Guest speakers                              | schools and SVMS. In addition, 10 students are serving as peer tutors in math and art classes at WHS.  The program expansion was accomplished at no additional cost.  4. Community leaders spoke at Sierra Elementary and both middle schools as part of career days. Additional expansion of community involvement was not funded and therefore did not take place.  5. Naviance implementation continued at all secondary sites. One teacher was sent to a Naviance Summer Institute in July so expenses were paid with 15/16 funding. | 3.Explore expansion of Students Teaching and Reaching Standards (STARS) tutoring program.  Meetings occurred after school. Costs Included in Base program.  |
|--|---|--|---|
|  | Base 0 5. Expand Naviance implementation- Additional staff training in Naviance successful implementation Other 10,000  |  | <ul> <li>4. Encourage community leader participation in school.</li> <li>Sponsorship</li> <li>Guest speakers</li> <li>Additional expansion of community involvement was not funded and therefore did not take place.</li> </ul> |
|  |   | onpenede none paid man 10, 10 minung.  | 5. Expand Naviance implementation- Additional staff training in Naviance successful implementation. Consultant costs paid with Common Core one time funding 2015/16 funding was used for Naviance conference. Other 5500.00     |
| Scope of All Subgroups Service   |   | Scope of Service   |   |
| All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)             |   | X All OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups: (Specify)   |   |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | As a result of reviewing the broad scope of Goal 5 in relation to RUSD goals for 2015-16 have been revised to target specific achievable action community service at all grade levels and expanding opportunities to be | ons. The revised Goal 5 for 2015-16 combines elements of Year 1  | (2014-15) Goals 5 & 6. Goals include increasing opportunities for   |

| from prior year pro                        | ograms and student learning.  ETRICS  Community/parent Surveys   | d proactive systems of clear communication that support meaningful<br>Groups (ELAC, DELAC, GATE, LCAP Parent Advisory Group, Site Co  |  | d the community to enhance district  | Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 _ 2 _ 3 X 4 X 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 X  COE only: 9 _ 10 _  Local : Specify Strategic Plan 3   |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
|  | Applicable Pupil Subgroups   | :   |  |  |   |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes: | on sign in sheets at meetings.  METRICS  Community/parent Surveys  | of subgroups on district and site level committees by 3% as evidence of subgroups on district and site level committees by 3% as evidence of subgroups (ELAC, DELAC, GATE, LCAP Parent Advisory Group, Si | Measurable<br>Outcomes:  | are held at the sites with 51 or more LCAP Parent Advisory Group- In 201 2015 we had 18 parents invited 5 par  | ent responses collected froups (ELAC meetings are held twice a year, DELAC meetings ELs, GATE parent advisory meetings held three times a year, 4 we need 15 parents invited 14 parents participated and in rticipated, Site Councils meetings are held regularly at each site) the following six schools: TO, VV, SE, PW, BR, & GO |
|  |  | LCAP  | <b>Year:</b> 2014-15   |  |   |
|  | Planned  | Actions/Services Budgeted Expenditures  |  | Actual Action  | s/Services Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures   |
| 1,Increase levels of f                     | amily involvement.   | Strategic Planning/Action Planning process. Title II  | 2. Due to lack of personne   | el this task was not completed.  | 1.Strategic Planning/Action Planning process. Title II 59,000   |
|  |  | 60,000  2. Target specific subgroups to increase participation.   | 3. Due to lack of personnel and funding source much of this  | 2.Target specific subgroups to increase participation. Supplemental 0  |   |
|  | Supplemental 5,000  3. Develop site based family services to build par between the schools and other community resour (KidsFirst, PBIS, etc) Create a Family Resource Center in partnership versource groups (KidsFirst, churches) |   | elementary site using 20,000 of supplemental funds.  52 FTE Counselor was hired to support PBIS implementation at Title 1 elementary school. | 3.Develop site based family services to build partnerships between the schools and other community resources (KidsFirst, PBIS, etc) Create a Family Resource Center in partnership with area resource groups (KidsFirst, churches) Supplemental 14,560 |   |
|  |  | Supplemental 0  4.Continue Latino Family Literacy Program Supplemental  | program.   | 4.Continue Latino Family Literacy Program Supplemental 8,000   |   |
|  |  | 5.Continue English as a Second Language Class for families  |  | 5.Continue English as a Second Language Class for families at RES. Title I 10,000  |   |
|  |  | at RES. Supplemental 10,000   | 10. SITE Council costs in  | eradea in base program.  | 6.GATE Advisory Committee   |
|  |  | 6. GATE Advisory Committee Base 0 7. ELACs/DELACs Supplemental 3,000  |  |  | 7. ELACs/DELACs Supplemental 2,500  |
|  |  | 8. Coordinate district and support school sites family and community engagement (Percent of Family Engagement   |  | _  | Coordinate district and support school sites family and community engagement (Percent of Family Engagement Coordinator).  |
| •  |  | 9. CTE Advisory Committee Base 0  | -  |  | 9. CTE Advisory Committee Costs included in base program.  Base 0   |
|  |  | 10. School Site Council Base 0  |  |  | 10. School Site Council Costs included in base program.   |
| Scope of Service                           | All Subgroups  |   | Scope of<br>Service  |  |   |
| _ All<br>OR:                               |  |   | X All OR:  |  |   |

Page 42 of 47

| _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  | _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  | 1 ago 12 31 11  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2.Increase levels and methods of family outreach.  | 1.Develop effective family involvement strategies. Example: Site based parent nights, Family Resource Center Supplemental 0  2.Develop district wide parent trainings to increase academic support. Supplemental 0  3.Research ways to include bilingual families in school setting:  • Bilingual Liaison support staff (office hours on high need sites)  • Bilingual informational nights (CCCSS)  • Digital translating options Supplemental 0  Coordinate district and support school sites family and community engagement. Supplemental 29,000 | 1-3. This work was unfunded and therefore did not take place. It will be addressed through modified goals in 2015/16 LCAP.   | 1.Develop effective family involvement strategies. Example: Site based parent nights, Family Resource Center Supplemental 0  2.Develop district wide parent training to increase academic support. Supplemental 0  3.Research ways to include bilingual families in school setting:  • Bilingual Liaison support staff (office hours on high need sites)  • Bilingual informational nights (CCCSS)  • Digital translating options Supplemental 0  4.Coordinate district and support school sites family and community engagement. Supplemental 25,000 |
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  All OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  3.Continue to educate parents and community about  | 1 Incorporate CCCSS information at site events including   | Scope of Service  X All OR:  Low Income pupils  English Learners  Foster Youth  Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  1. This work did happen at all our school sites at no additional  | 1 Incorporate CCCSS information at site events including Rock   |
| educational changes and best practices.  | 1.Incorporate CCCSS information at site events including Back to School night. Base 0  2.Offer Spanish nights for bilingual families wanting to learn about CCCSS and other topics. Supplemental 0   | cost.  2. This work did happen at all our school sites at no additional cost.  | 1.Incorporate CCCSS information at site events including Back to School night.     Costs included in base program.      2.Offer Spanish nights for bilingual families wanting to learn about CCCSS and other topics.     Costs Included in Base program.  |
| Scope of Service  All Subgroups  Service  All Subgroups  All Subgr |  | Scope of Service  All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |   |
| 4.Increase levels of family involvement.   | 1.Target specific subgroups to increase participation.     Supplemental 5,000      2.Research site based family services to build partnerships between the schools and other community resources   | 1.Due to limited staff capacity, this work did not occur.  2.Due to lack of personnel much of this action was not completed. PBIS was implemented at one Title 1 elementary site using 20,000 of supplemental funds. | 1.Target specific subgroups to increase participation. 0 2.Research site based family services to build partnerships between the schools and other community resources (KidsFirst, PBIS, etc). Supplemental 14,560  |

Page 43 of 47

|   | (KidsFirst, PBIS, etc). Supplemental 0  | 4-5. Due to limited staff capacity, this work did not occur.   | 3.Coordinate district and support school sites family and community engagement. Supplemental 25,000  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 3.Coordinate district and support school sites family and community engagement. Supplemental 29,000   |  | 4.Research effective family involvement strategies. Example:   |  |  |
|   | 4.Research effective family involvement strategies.  Example: Site based parent nights. Supplemental 0  |  | Site based parent nights.  5.Research ways to include bilingual families in school setting:  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>5.Research ways to include bilingual families in school setting:</li> <li>Bilingual Liaison support staff (office hours on high need sites)</li> <li>Bilingual informational nights (CCCSS)</li> </ul> |  | <ul> <li>Bilingual Liaison support staff (office hours on high need sites)</li> <li>Bilingual informational nights (CCCSS)</li> <li>Electronic translator headsets</li> </ul>            |  |  |
|   | Electronic translator headsets     Supplemental 0   |  | 6.Bridge the learning for summer and after school by continuing to support MyOn (computer based library of books) for English Learners to access. Incentivize reading outside of school. |  |  |
|   | 6.Bridge the learning for summer and after school by continuing to support MyOn (computer based library of books) for English Learners to access. Incentivize reading outside of school. Supplemental 8,000     |  | Supplemental 8,000 7.Continue Latino Family Literacy Program Supplemental 10,000   |  |  |
|   | 7.Continue Latino Family Literacy Program Supplemental 10,000   |  | 8.Continue English as a second language class for families at RES. Supplemental 10,000   |  |  |
|   | 8.Continue English as a second language class for families at RES. Supplemental 10,000  |  | 9.ELACs/DELACs Supplemental 3,000  |  |  |
|   | 9.ELACs/DELACs Supplemental 3,000   |  |  |  |  |
| Scope of EL, LI, Foster Youth Service   |   | Scope of Service   |  |  |  |
| _ All   | _   | AII  |  |  |  |
| OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)       |   | OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  |  |  |
| 5.Continue to educate parents and community about educational changes and best practices  | 1.Develop district wide parent trainings to increase academic support. Supplemental 0   | 1-2. Due to lack of funding allocation these actions did not happen. Action 1 is incorporated into 2015-16 LCAP.   | 1.Develop district wide parent trainings to increase academic support. Supplemental 0  |  |  |
|   | 2.Offer Spanish nights for bilingual families to learn about CCCSS. Supplemental 0  |  | 2.Offer Spanish nights for bilingual families to learn about CCCSS. Supplemental 0   |  |  |
| Scope of EL, LI, Foster Youth Service   | _   | Scope of Service   |  |  |  |
| _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) |   | _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)  |  |  |  |
| will be made as a result of reviewing past progress goals   |   | Strategic Planning, receiving input from stakeholder groups, reviewons. The revised Goal 6 for 2015-16 combines elements of Year 1 h a focus on our subgroups (Low Income, EL, & Foster Youths). |  |  |  |

### Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a district wide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

### Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:

\$3,000,860

LCAP funds were allocated and spent according to the goals, actions and services identified in the LCAP plan. The LCAP was developed with input from parents, students, certificated and classified staff, bargaining groups, and administrators. Representatives form our EL, LI, and FY subgroups were included in the planning. Goals were aligned to RUSD's Strategic Plan, which was also developed with input from all stakeholders. Where supplemental funds are used in a school-wide or district wide manner. For example, Guided Language Acquisition Development (GLAD) training was offered to teachers and classified staff. While GLAD is designed as an instructional strategy that targets the needs of ELL students, it is also effective first instruction for all students. LCAP supplemental funds are also used to support five Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) in ELA, Math and Technology. In accordance with our Strategic Plan and LCAP, TOSAs support classroom teachers in the development of effective first instruction that meets the needs of all learners. A major investment was made in instructional equipment and technologies to enhance the learning environment for all students. TK and Kindergarten programs have been expanded as an investment in early learning and targeted support of our youngest students. Family Tutoring Centers will continue as a way to support struggling students in targeted subgroups (EL, LI, FY) Funds are allocated for professional development for both certificated and classified staff, especially staff working with students with exceptional needs as a way to build capacity in effective instructional practices. Building and maintaining positive school climate and culture are supported by supplemental funding in the areas on Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (MTSS) will address academic, social emotional and behavioral interventions and instructional support for all students.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

3.83 %

RUSD will spend \$3,109,000 in supplemental funds to support all students, calling out specific actions to target English learners, Low Income, Foster Youth, and students with exceptional needs subgroup populations. Please refer to the Goals and Action sections of the LCAP document for details. Through a collaborative process that included input from all stakeholders, these actions were identified as being the most effective use of supplemental funds. Anticipated results of LCAP Year 1 and continuing actions in LCAP Year 2, include the following:

- targeted intervention,
- students with identified academic need will make progress towards meeting grade level performance goals.
- communication between home and school will improve, allowing parents, schools and students to interact more effectively thereby improving student engagement, access to services, and academic performance.
- middle and high school students will benefit from additional counselors and have increased access to services aimed at helping them identify and meet college and career related goals.
- Professional development to support the expansion of RUSD's Transitional Kindergarten (TK) program and piloting a full day kindergarten model thereby narrowing the achievement gap for our youngest learners.
- We used Title 1 money to lower the class-size in TK at all of our Title 1 schools which will help our most at risk students including foster youth, English learners, and low income pupils by creating a lower teacher to student ratio and provide more access to the foundations of academic and social skills needed to be successful in future years.
- Addition of five full-day Kindergarten classroom models, two of which are Title 1 schools, are targeted to the needs of early learners and provides early intervention services for our youngest students.
- the continuance of Career and College Counselors at the secondary level will enhance the support services for targeted student subgroups by creating lower student-staff ratios.
- continuing to provide the Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) at Title 1 school sites supports LCAP Goal 3 of providing "safe schools with healthy climates;" PBIS has data to support the increase in attendance rates associated with our at-risk students which already have a high rate of relocating, as well as an increase in instructional time due to a decrease behavior problems.
- Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSA) for ELA, Math and Technology attend professional conferences, such as National Council for Teachers of Mathematics, California Association of English, and CUE technology conference that focus on strategies that will better meet the needs of our sub groups and they provide valuable professional learning and ongoing support to classroom teachers and improving the outcomes for student learning.

Other LCAP goals that support "progress towards increasingly challenging academic goals for all students" include the exploration of an IB program at the Title I middle school level, GLAD training for K-12 teachers, and targeted support for EL students at the secondary level. GLAD

training was provided to our staff and funded by Title 1 and EL supplemental money. With the increase in GLAD trained teachers, our EL students will be provided with effective first instruction and have more access to developing their academic vocabulary and literacy skills across the curriculum and throughout the day. Building positive culture and climates on school campuses will be provided through staff professional learning and student engagement opportunities in the areas of inclusion and working with students with diverse learning styles and students in LCAP targeted subgroups. In addition, enhanced elements of data collection will help RUSD continue to reflect and refine programs throughout the year, targeting subgroup performance as related to LCAP goals.

### LEA-wide services

The following services have been implemented to benefit students throughout the district:

- Targeted intervention although the services will be provided to any student in need based on data. These services will also be provided to our subgroups as we feel they will benefit the most from these services.
- Communication between home and school will improve, allowing parents, schools and students to interact more effectively thereby improving student engagement. This communication will take place through the use of Family and Community Engagement Coordinator.

  Although this position will engage all parents we feel this will provide our subgroups a better opportunity to be informed of the services available that will lead to students found in our subgroups to be better prepared for College and Career.
- Middle and high school students will benefit from additional counselors and have increased access to services aimed at helping them identify and meet college and career related goals. RUSD feel this lower Counselor to student ratio will allow more targeted support to students in our subgroups as well as struggling students in general.
- We used Title 1 money to lower the class-size in TK at all of our Title 1 schools which will help our most at risk students including foster youth, English learners, and low income pupils by creating a lower teacher to student ratio and more access to the foundations of academic and social skills needed to be successful in future years. These dollars will be used to support all students who are significantly behind their peers in attempt to get them College and Career ready.
- The addition of five full-day Kindergarten classroom models, two of which are Title 1 schools, are targeted to the needs of early learners and provides early intervention services for our youngest students; this is used to support students by extending student learning time.
- The continuance of Career and College Counselors at the secondary level will enhance the support services for targeted student subgroups by creating lower student-staff ratios; continuing to provide the Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) at Title 1 school sites supports LCAP Goal 3 of providing "safe schools with healthy climates;" PBIS has data to support the increase in attendance rates associated with our at-risk students which already have a high rate of relocating, as well as an increase in instructional time due to a decrease behavior problems.
- Teachers on Special Assignment for ELA, Math and Technology attend professional conferences, such as National Council for Teachers of Mathematics, California Association of English, and CUE technology conference that focus on strategies that will better meet the needs of our sub groups and they provide valuable professional learning and ongoing support to classroom teachers and improving the outcomes for student learning. This will help close the achievement gap for all students; however priorities will be set to support all subgroups that have been targeted.
- Include the exploration of an IB program at the Title I middle school level. This will provide a more demanding, inquiry-based learning environment with a more global focus on course work to all students but will especially target our low income, EL and foster students.

# Section 4: Expenditure Summary

| Total Expenditures by Funding Source |                           |                         |                |                |                |                |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Funding Source                       | Annual Update<br>Budgeted | Annual Update<br>Actual | Year 1         | Year 2         | Year 3         | Year 1-3 Total |  |  |
| All Funding Sources                  | 164,928,300.00            | 169,934,033.00          | 136,931,000.00 | 148,875,500.00 | 148,786,500.00 | 434,593,000.00 |  |  |
|                                      | 0.00                      | 65,000.00               | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           |  |  |
| Base                                 | 151,638,000.00            | 157,545,321.00          | 133,822,000.00 | 142,381,000.00 | 142,381,000.00 | 418,584,000.00 |  |  |
| Other                                | 2,198,000.00              | 2,012,393.00            | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           |  |  |
| Supplemental                         | 11,027,300.00             | 10,242,319.00           | 3,109,000.00   | 6,494,500.00   | 6,405,500.00   | 16,009,000.00  |  |  |
| Title I                              | 0.00                      | 10,000.00               | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           |  |  |
| Title II                             | 65,000.00                 | 59,000.00               | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           | 0.00           |  |  |

| Total Expenditures by Object Type |                        |                             |              |               |               |                |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| Object Type                       | Annual Update Budgeted | Annual Update Actual Year 1 |              | Year 2        | Year 3        | Year 1-3 Total |  |  |
| All Expenditure Types             | 51,068,700.00          | 0.00                        | 2,223,800.00 | 52,064,500.00 | 52,064,500.00 | 106,352,800.00 |  |  |
|                                   | 51,068,700.00          | 0.00                        | 2,223,800.00 | 52,064,500.00 | 52,064,500.00 | 106,352,800.00 |  |  |

| Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source |                     |                        |                      |              |               |               |                |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Object Type  | Funding Source      | Annual Update Budgeted | Annual Update Actual | Year 1       | Year 2        | Year 3        | Year 1-3 Total |
| All Expenditure Types                                | All Funding Sources | 51,068,700.00          | 0.00                 | 2,223,800.00 | 52,064,500.00 | 52,064,500.00 | 106,352,800.00 |
|  | Base                | 47,415,000.00          | 0.00                 | 586,000.00   | 49,614,000.00 | 49,614,000.00 | 99,814,000.00  |
|  | Other               | 2,039,000.00           | 0.00                 | 0.00         | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.00           |
|  | Supplemental        | 1,614,700.00           | 0.00                 | 1,637,800.00 | 2,450,500.00  | 2,450,500.00  | 6,538,800.00   |

### LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

01-13-15 [California Department of Education]